

P.O. Box 451 Exeter, NH 03833-0451 Tel: 603-778-3988 FAX: 603-778-0104 WWW.EXETERENVIRONMENTAL.COM STEVESHOPE@COMCAST.NET JULIESHOPE@COMCAST.NET SAMCOUTURE1@COMCAST.NET

April 4, 2017

Mr. James Clemence, Sr. Hampton Rod & Gun Club, Inc. P. O. Box 826 North Hampton, NH 03842-0826

> Re: Site Investigation Hampton Rod & Gun Club Property (DES # 201310001) 189 Atlantic Avenue North Hampton, New Hampshire

Dear Mr. Clemence:

We have completed our Site Investigation of this property. The Site Investigation was conducted to satisfy the regulations of the NH Department of Environmental Services (DES) Env-Or 606.03 and Env-Or 606.01, as requested by DES in their letter dated December 3, 2013 and amended with more recent correspondence.

The results of our investigation are contained in this report. Please feel free to call if you have any questions or comments.

Sincerely,

Steven B. Shope, PG President Exeter Environmental Associates, Inc. SBS





P.O. Box 451 Exeter, NH 03833-0451 Tel: 603-778-3988 Fax: 603-778-0104 WWW.EXETERENVIRONMENTAL.COM STEVESHOPE@COMCAST.NET JULIESHOPE@COMCAST.NET SAMCOUTURE1COMCAST.NET

SITE INVESTIGATION REPORT

HAMPTON ROD & GUN CLUB PROPERTY ATLANTIC AVENUE, NORTH HAMPTON, NEW HAMPSHIRE DES SITE # 201310001



REPORT PREPARED FOR:

Hampton Rod & Gun Club, Inc P.O. Box 826 North Hampton, NH 03824-0826 Attn: Mr. James Clemence, Sr.

April 4, 2017

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 INTRODU	1.0 INTRODUCTION and BACKGROUND 1				
2.0 SITE DES	2.0 SITE DESCRIPTION				
3.0 SITE HIST	3.0 SITE HISTORY 4				
4.0 FIELD INV	4.0 FIELD INVESTIGATIONS				
 4.1 Soil Borings and Monitoring Well Installations			6 7 10 10 13 15 17		
5.0 CONCEPTUAL SITE MODEL					
6.0 POTENTIAL RECEPTOR SURVEY 25					
7.0 CONCLUSIONS					
8.0 RECOMMENDATIONS					
9.0 LIMITATIONS					
FIGURES	Figure 1 Figure 2 Figures 3a, 3b Figure 4 Figure 5 Figures 6a, 6b Figure 7	USGS Locus Map Overview Plan Site Plans Soil Data Pistol/Rifle Range Soil Data Trap Range Surface Water and Sediment Locations Potential Receptor Map			
TABLES	Table 1 Table 2 Tables 3a, 3b Tables 4a, 4b Table 5 Tables 6a, 6b	Groundwater Elevation Data Groundwater Quality Data Soil Data Pistol/Rifle Range Soil Data Trap Range Sediment Data Surface Water Quality Data			

SITE PHOTOGRAPHS

APPENDIX I -	NETR Online Database Report
APPENDIX II -	Laboratory Reports & Field Sampling Logs
APPENDIX III -	Soil Boring Logs and Monitoring Well Construction Details

1.0 INTRODUCTION and BACKGROUND

This report presents the results of a Site Investigation of the Hampton Rod & Gun Club property located on Atlantic Avenue in North Hampton, New Hampshire. The property is identified on North Hampton Tax Map 13 as Lot 83. In addition to several club buildings, the property is developed with a pistol/rifle range (Photo #1) and a separate trap range (Photo #6).

On August 15, 2013, personnel from the NH Department of Environmental Services (DES) conducted an inspection of the subject property. DES observed that an area of forested wetland and perennial stream had been filled or otherwise impacted as a result of trap shooting activities, which included the deposition of clay targets, shotgun wads, and lead shot. It was further noted by DES that the pistol/rifle range berm was observed to have a trenched area in front of it that showed evidence of occasional water flow.

DES requested that a Site Investigation be performed in accordance with Env-Or 606.01 Contaminated Site Management Rules. It is the objective of this investigation to evaluate the extent of impacts of regulated waste (lead shot/bullets, clay targets, shotgun wads) in the soil, sediment, surface water and groundwater at the site. Our work to date indicates that lead (Pb) is the primary contaminant of concern.

It is the intent of this study to satisfy the requirements of a Site Investigation Report as stated in DES regulations Env-Or 606.03. We have made several exceptions to the requirements of Env-Or 606.03, as follows. First, property lines shown on the site plan are approximate. The east and west boundaries have been delineated by Jones & Beach Engineers (Stratham, NH) based on information available at the Rockingham County Registry of Deeds. The northern boundary is not shown on the site plan due to its distance from the shooting ranges, but is shown on the potential receptors map. Second, geologic cross-sections are not provided due to the shallow (<15') depth of the borings at this property. The contaminant of concern, lead (Pb), does not readily migrate

through groundwater as would dissolved contaminants such as gasoline or chlorinated solvents¹. In the opinion of Professional Geologist Steven Shope, the additional effort necessary to develop detailed cross-sections is not justified based upon the migration characteristics of lead and site-specific conditions.

The site investigation has included: site inspections; the installation of four initial groundwater monitoring wells; the installation of four additional shallow groundwater monitoring wells at the pistol/rifle range; two rounds of groundwater sampling and analysis of water samples for the presence of dissolved lead, copper, and arsenic; sampling the on-site supply well for the presence of total lead, copper and arsenic; the collection of 78 soil samples from the pistol/rifle range and the analysis of all soil samples for lead and analysis of 3 samples for copper and arsenic; the collection of 64 soil samples from the trap range and the analysis of all soil samples for lead, analysis of 4 samples for copper and arsenic and 2 for polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons; the collection of 18 sediment and 11 surface water sample locations and analysis of the samples for lead; a potential receptor survey; and preparation of this report. This investigation is subject to the limitations stated in Section 10.0 of this report.

2.0 SITE DESCRIPTION

The Hampton Rod & Gun Club property is located off the northern side of Route 111 (aka Atlantic Avenue) in North Hampton, New Hampshire. The property covers approximately 15.9± acres of land area, primarily used as a shooting range. The site location is shown on the attached US Geological Survey topographic map (Figure 1). The site layout is shown on Figure 2.

The property is developed with several buildings including a clubhouse, a one-bay garage, a shooting shed associated with the pistol/rifle range, and a canopy and

¹ Freeze & Cherry, 1979. *Groundwater*, Englewood, NJ, Chapter 9.

observation tower associated with the trap range. The clubhouse building is constructed on a poured slab-on-grade foundation with a concrete floor. There are no floor drains in the building. The clubhouse is heated with fuel oil and serviced by an on-site drilled bedrock well and a septic leachfield. The depth of the well is not known. The location of the supply well is shown on Figure 3a. The clubhouse building is surrounded by asphalt paving to the northeast, and by wooded land on all other sides.

A pistol/rifle range is located to the east of the clubhouse building (Figure 2). An earthen backstop berm is located along the eastern edge of this range and a north-south trending drainage channel is located just to the west of the berm.

A trap range is located to the north of the clubhouse building (Figure 2). The trap range is level and bisected by a drainage channel that discharges in an easterly direction to the Little River. The floor of the trap range floods on a seasonal basis (Photo #7).

The overall topography of the site slopes down gently to the north-northeast, towards the Little River. The Little River is located $350\pm$ feet north of the northern extent of the trap range and $600\pm$ feet north of the pistol/rifle range and flows in an easterly direction, eventually emptying into the Atlantic Ocean. Additional surface waters at the site include a drainage channel originating at the pistol/rifle range, a drainage channel that traverses the trap range and is joined by the pistol/rifle range channel, and the associated wetland areas surrounding the trap range and the Little River. Flow directions within the drainage channels and streams are shown on Figure 6a.

The property is abutted by woods on all sides.

<u>Property Ownership</u> Hampton Rod & Gun Club, Inc. P. O. Box 826 North Hampton, NH 03842-0826

3.0 SITE HISTORY

Our understanding of the Hampton Rod & Gun Club history is based upon interviews in 2014 with members Michael Harris, Jim Clemence and Peter Eaton. Mr. Eaton has been a member of the club since the 1960s.

The gun club property was first developed pre-1940 as farmland. Evidence of the farm includes an old tote road leading to a dug well in the northeastern portion of the property as well as linear drainage channels on the westerly abutting property. The channels are visible on the aerial photograph below.



West facing aerial photograph of the gun club circa 2013 (source: Google)

The trap and pistol/rifle ranges have been in their approximate current configuration since the 1940s, although both Mr. Eaton and Mr. Harris have knowledge that shooting at the pistol/rifle range was historically to the north of the existing berm location. Based upon historical aerial photography, the existing berm and the associated drainage channel have been in place since the 1990s. The existing berm was historically used for rifles and pistols were fired into a smaller berm that was formerly located approximately 50 feet east of the existing shooting shed. The pistol berm was removed in 2013 when the range was reconstructed. Some of the material was placed onto the rifle berm, which was also built up with new material at that time. The remaining material was spread out over the range floor in the vicinity of the former pistol berm.

Maintenance activities at the gun club include removing plastic shotshell wads on an annual basis and liming the trap fields each spring to increase soil pH. Clay pigeons and lead shot remain on the ground. Bullet traps were deployed at the pistol/rifle range in early 2015 to prevent future impacts to soil, sediment and surface water.

The clubhouse building was originally constructed in the 1940s and was renovated in 2005. The garage building was constructed in 2007. The shooting shed associated with the pistol/rifle range was erected in 2010. The Hampton Rod and Gun Club has always supported the Police Departments of North Hampton, Rye, occasionally Portsmouth and Stratham, Homeland Security (Two Coast Guard Cutters out of New Castle) and the US Border Patrol. All of these agencies have used the site to qualify their personnel /officers in firearms training. Lead distribution has not been limited to club members.

<u>Air Photo Review</u>. A review of historical aerial photography has been conducted as part of the Site Investigation. Aerial photographs from the years 1962, 1974, 1978, 1992, 1998, 2003, 2011 and 2012 were available from the NETR Online database and are included in *Appendix I*. In all of the photographs, the clubhouse building and the main east-west channel across the trap range are visible. Additionally, the existing trap range and pistol/rifle range are shown in their present locations in each of the aerial photos reviewed.

4.0 FIELD INVESTIGATIONS

4.1 Soil Borings and Monitoring Well Installations. A total of eight groundwater monitoring wells have been installed as part of this Site Investigation. The monitoring well locations are shown on Figures 3a and 3b. The wells were installed on two separate occasions. The first series of wells were installed on March 7, 2014 by Technical Drilling Services of Sterling Massachusetts. The wells were installed with a track-mounted auger rig and are identified on Figures 3a and 3b as wells MW-1 through MW-4. The objective of installing the monitoring wells was to evaluate potential groundwater impacts from shooting activities at the site.

Soil samples were collected at five-foot intervals using a split spoon sampler. Soil samples were logged by the on-site engineers (Julie Shope and Brian Campelia of Exeter Environmental Associates, Inc.). The overburden material in the upland area was found to be a dense glacial till. The soil in the trap range and associated wetlands was generally found to consist of a marine fine to medium silty sand unit overlying clay. The overburden in the vicinity of well MW-2 was found to consist of a dark gray fine to coarse sand with rock fragments. The origin and extent of this unit is uncertain, but is not believed to be related to glacial till. Bedrock was not encountered in any of the four borings, up to a maximum depth of 17 feet. The water table was generally encountered at a depth of one to four feet below grade at the time of drilling.

The monitoring wells were constructed of two-inch PVC well screen and riser casing and were all installed with traditional sand and bentonite filling the annular space as shown on the well construction logs. Upon completion, each well was developed with a

6

dedicated Waterra hand pump to remove the fine sediments. Boring logs and well construction details are included in *Appendix III*.

Four additional shallow monitoring wells were installed at the pistol/rifle range at the request of DES. The wells were installed on July 14, 2014 using a GeoProbe drill rig operated by Technical Drilling Services. The objective of installing the monitoring wells was to characterize shallow groundwater quality at the pistol/rifle range to determine if it is a contributing factor towards the presence of lead that has been detected in surface water.

The monitoring well locations at the pistol/rifle range are shown on Figures 3a and 3b, identified as SGW-1, SGW-2, SGW-3 and SGW-4. In general, the borings encountered a silty clay soil. The monitoring wells were constructed of two-inch diameter PVC pipe with five-foot long well screens that extended approximately five feet into the water table. Boring logs and well construction details are included in *Appendix III*. Upon completion, each well was developed with a peristaltic pump and dedicated Teflon tubing to remove the fine sediments.

4.2 Water Elevation Survey. The top of the riser pipe for monitoring wells MW-1 to MW-4 and ground surface spot elevations were surveyed by Jones & Beach Engineers in 2014. A benchmark along Atlantic Avenue was used in the survey. The remaining four "SGW" wells and three surface water staff gauges were surveyed by Julie Shope and Sam Couture of Exeter Environmental in July 2014. Surveying was performed using a Leitz Automatic Level. The casing elevations for wells MW-1 through MW-4 were adjusted at this time as the riser pipes had been cut down.

The static water levels were measured at the monitoring locations with an electronic water level indicator on five separate occasions in 2014 and 2015. The results of the water elevation survey are presented in Table 1 and are discussed in Section 4.4.

7

In their comment letter dated March 2, 2015, DES inquired as to whether or not the lead impacted soil in the pistol/rifle range is below saturated water levels. The static water level measurements and our observations indicate that the maximum depth of impacted soil in the pistol/rifle range is $2\pm$ feet, which is below the seasonal high water table during the winter and spring months.

4.3 Groundwater Sampling and Analyses. An initial round of groundwater samples was collected from monitoring wells MW-1 through MW-4 on April 1, 2014. The supply well (DW-1) was sampled on April 24, 2014. Prior to sampling, the depth to water in the monitoring wells was measured and they were purged of three well volumes using dedicated Waterra hand pumps. The wells were sampled with a peristaltic pump and dedicated Teflon tubing lowered to the mid-depth of the well. Each of the groundwater samples was observed to be relatively silty at the time of sample collection. The groundwater samples were field-filtered using a 0.45-micron filter and collected into 40 mL plastic bottles preserved with nitric acid. The water sample from the clubhouse supply well was collected from the kitchen tap after purging the well for 15 minutes.

The samples were placed in an ice-containing cooler, and delivered by courier to Eastern Analytical, Inc. (EAI) for laboratory analysis. The groundwater samples were analyzed by EPA Method 200.8 for dissolved lead, copper and arsenic. The drinking water sample was analyzed for total lead, copper and arsenic. A copy of the laboratory report is provided in *Appendix II*. A summary of the groundwater results is presented in Table 2.

As shown in Table 2, arsenic, copper, and lead were each detected in at least one of the monitoring wells and in the bedrock supply well during the April 2014 sampling. The concentrations detected were below Ambient Groundwater Quality Standards (AGQS). Dissolved lead was only detected at one location (MW-3), at a concentration of 2 μ g/L. Copper was also only detected at one location (MW-1), at a concentration of 2 μ g/L.

Low concentrations of arsenic were detected at each of the four locations (<7 μ g/L). In summary, the data indicate that shallow groundwater has not been impacted by the historical gun club activities.

All eight monitoring wells (the four original and the four at the pistol/rifle range) were sampled on July 29, 2014 using a peristaltic pump, Teflon tubing and a low-flow sampling rate of 0.2 ml/min. The samples were field filtered, placed in pre-preserved containers, and submitted for analysis of dissolved lead. Indicator parameters pH and specific conductance were measured in the field. The samples were placed in an ice-containing cooler, and delivered by courier to EAI for laboratory analysis. A copy of the laboratory report is provided in *Appendix II*. The results are summarized in Table 2.

Lead was not detected above reporting limits in the groundwater samples collected from MW-1, MW-2, MW-3 or MW-4. Trace concentrations $(1 \mu g/L)$ of lead were identified in the samples collected from wells SGW-1 and SGW-4, and a lead concentration of 6 $\mu g/L$ was identified in the sample from SGW-3. Lead was identified in the sample from SGW- 2 at a concentration of $21 \mu g/L$, which exceeds the AGQS of $15 \mu g/L$. pH levels were in the range of 5.8 to 6.6, which is considered to be slightly acidic and within a normal range for New Hampshire groundwater. In their letter of March 4, 2015, DES requested that a second groundwater quality sample be collected from SGW-2. This second sample was collected on March 16, 2015 using the same method (peristaltic pump) as was used during the first round of sampling. A lead concentration of $5 \mu g/L$ was identified. The monitoring results demonstrate that groundwater has not been significantly impacted by lead at either the pistol/rifle range or the trap range.

In their comment letter dated March 4, 2015, DES notes that the lead concentration of $6 \mu g/L$ detected in monitoring well SGW-3 (adjacent to the drainage channel) exceeds both the total and dissolved chronic lead surface water criteria of $<1 \mu g/L$ at a hardness of 80 mg/L or less. Although this is true, it does not account for the dilution that would occur when groundwater seeps into a moving surface water body. It is our opinion that

shallow groundwater at the pistol/rifle range is a possible, but not likely significant, contributing source of dissolved lead to surface water.

4.4 Groundwater Flow. A summary of the surveyed top of casing elevations and the static water levels measured during six events are presented in Table 1. Based upon the water elevations measured during this study, the inferred direction of shallow groundwater flow is to the east-northeast, towards the Little River. Groundwater elevations measured on June 5, 2015 and November 8, 2106 are shown on Figures 3a and 3b.

We have measured a relatively flat horizontal water table gradient of 0.01 feet per feet (i.e., a 1% slope) across the site. Vertical hydraulic gradients cannot be measured as no well couplets have been installed as part of the site investigation. However, based on the visual observation of artesian conditions in wells MW-3 and SGW-1 during the April 2015 sampling round, a vertically upward hydraulic gradient is present during the spring season.

As pointed-out by Dr. Matthew Davis in his correspondence to the Town of North Hampton²; "Where the silt-clay layer is present, there is likely a perched water table that receives recharge from precipitation and discharges it locally to nearby surface water bodies." We are in agreement with Dr. Davis's comment.

4.5 Soil Sampling and Analysis (Pistol/Rifle Range). The soil sampling at the pistol/rifle range was conducted on six separate occasions. The soil sample locations are shown on Figure 4 and the laboratory data are summarized in Tables 3a and 3b.

² Matthew Davis, PhD., to Mr. Paul Apple, Town Administrator, Town of North Hampton, Summary of Document Review, Hampton Rod & Gun Club, Atlantic Ave., May 27, 2015.

For each location, samples were collected from a hand-dug test pit at depths of 0-6 and 6-12 inches. The shallow samples are identified as "A" and the deeper samples are identified as "B". The test pits were dug with a narrow soil spade, which was cleaned with clean tap water between locations. Each test pit was sub-sampled with a stainless steel spoon that was also cleaned between samples. Soil samples were collected into zip-lock bags and brought to a preparation table, where they were screened for the presence of bullets and bullet shards. The screening was done visually and not with a sieve, due to the high silt and moisture content of the fill soil. Bullets and bullet shards were observed and removed from selected soil samples as described in the field logs (*Appendix II*).

Following the screening, samples were taken from the Ziploc bag and placed into a 4ounce glass jar for laboratory analysis. All of the samples were submitted to Eastern Analytical, Inc. of Concord, NH for analysis of lead; three were submitted for analysis of arsenic and copper, and the majority were analyzed for pH. The laboratory reports are included in *Appendix II*.

The initial sampling was conducted on April 24, 2014 (S-1 to S-12). Elevated concentrations of lead were found in several areas on the floor of the range. The concentration of lead in six of the initial samples exceeded the applicable Soil Remediation Standard³ (SRS) of 400 mg/kg. Three of the floor locations were found to have lead concentrations above the Upper Concentration Limit (UCL) of 4,000 mg/kg as follows: S-2 (31,000 mg/kg), S-5 (28,000 mg/kg) and S-6 (38,000 mg/kg). These three locations form a triangle with a volume of $110\pm$ yd³ of soil that exceeds UCLs, assuming an average depth of two feet. These elevated lead concentrations are attributable to the pistol berm formerly located in this area, as discussed in Section 3.0. Additional soil sampling was required to define the limits of soil exceeding SRS.

³ NH DES, Env-Or 606.19, Table 600-2, Soil Remediation Standards.

On July 14, 2014, two additional soil samples (S-5 and S-9) were collected at a depth of 20 to 24 inches below ground. The soil samples were collected by hand excavating to a depth of 18 inches then using a GeoProbe sampler with an acetate sleeve to a depth of 24 inches. Total lead was reported in samples S-5 and S-9 at concentrations of 6 and 250 mg/kg respectively. Both of these values are less than the Soil Remediation Standard (SRS) of 400 mg/kg and document that the vertical extent of lead in soil at the pistol/rifle range is less than two feet.

Four additional shallow (0 to 6") soil samples were collected during the installation of four new monitoring wells at the pistol/rifle range on July 14, 2014. These samples were submitted for laboratory analysis of pH. The values were found to be between 6.0 to 7.2 pH units, which are considered to be slightly acidic to neutral.

In their response letter dated July 17, 2014, DES recommended that additional soil samples be conducted around the berm perimeter and west of the shooting shed to better define lead concentrations in those areas.

A total of 16 additional locations (S-13 to S-28 okay okay send this to Julie can you please look like? Sent) were sampled at the pistol/rifle range on October 3, 2014. The soil sampling was initiated off the west side of the shooting building at a location believed to be representative of upgradient conditions (S-13). The sampling progressed to the south of the pistol/rifle range, along the eastern side of the berm on property owned by Spruce Meadow Associates, then moving to the west past monitoring well MW-4, then along the north side of the pistol rifle range. The sampling was conducted at an approximate spacing of 50 feet. Relatively low concentrations of lead (<100 mg/kg), likely representative of background conditions, were identified in the samples from S-13 to S-17. Lead concentrations exceeding SRS were identified in the shallow samples at locations S-22 and S-24. These sampling locations are both located to the

northeast of the pistol/rifle range. The specific cause of elevated lead at these two locations is unknown, but may be related to historical shooting in that direction⁴.

pH values for the soil samples were found to be acidic, ranging from a low of 2.9 to a high of 6.5, with an average of 4.8 pH units. The low pH of the soil is correlated to the native soil type encountered at the sampling locations, as opposed to the off-site fill encountered on the floor of the pistol/rifle range, which exhibited pH values of 6.0 to 7.2.

Additional soil samples were collected on December 30, 2014, May 26, 2015, and September 11, 2015 so that a delineation of lead data exceeding 400 mg/kg could be plotted. The resulting 400 mg/kg lead concentration contour is shown in red on Figure 4.

Based on this contour and with the use of the Data Mapper utility available on DES OneStop, we estimate an areal extent of $25,000 \pm \text{ft}^2$ of soil in the pistol/rifle range that exceeds SRS. Using a depth of 0.5 feet, this translates into a volume of $460 \pm \text{yd}^3$ of soil that exceeds SRS.

4.6 Soil Sampling and Analysis (Trap Range). The trap range soils were sampled on four dates. The soil sample locations are shown on Figure 5 and the laboratory data are summarized in Tables 4a and 4b. The laboratory report is presented in *Appendix II*. The first round of sampling was conducted on February 6, 2015 using a GeoProbe drill rig (TRS-1 to 20). The samples were collected into clear acetate sleeves and brought back to the office of Exeter Environmental for screening as described in Section 4.5 and packaged for laboratory analyses. Shotgun shells, clay targets and lead shot was observed and removed from selected soil samples as described in the field logs (*Appendix II*). For each location, samples were collected at depths of 0-6, 6-12 and 12-

⁴ Telecommunication with Michael Harris, October 17, 2014.

24 inches (not analyzed). The shallow samples are identified as "A" and the deeper samples are identified as "B".

As shown in the tables, elevated lead concentrations were found to be limited to those samples less than six inches deep. This is expected given that the lead shot was deposited by falling shot as opposed to projectile bullets.

Additional rounds of soil samples were collected from the trap range on November 19, 2015 (TRS-21 to 34); March 7, 2016 (TRS-38 to 43) and March 24, 2016 (TRS-35, TRSS-44 to 46) to allow for the delineation of the 400 mg/kg lead contour. These samples were collected with the stainless steel spoon after opening up a shallow excavation with a hand spade. Samples were only collected from a depth of 0 to 6 inches as the previous round of sampling had shown the elevated lead concentrations to be limited to that depth range. The lead concentrations and the resulting 400 mg/kg SRS contour for the trap range are shown on Figure 5.

Based on this contour and with the use of the Data Mapper utility available on DES OneStop, we estimate an areal extent of $150,000 \pm \text{ft}^2$ of lead-impacted soil that exceeds SRS. Using a depth of 0.5 feet, this translates into a volume of $2,800 \pm \text{yd}^3$ of soil that exceeds SRS. Using the same technique and estimated depth, we estimate an areal extent of $35,000 \text{ ft}^2$ that exceed UCL, translating into a soil volume of 650 yd^3 .

pH values for the trap range soil samples were found to be acidic, ranging from a low of 3.5 to a high of 6.9, with an average of 5.0 pH units.

Two soil samples collected from the trap range on February 15, 2015 were analyzed for the presence of polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs). No PAHs were identified in sample TRS-17B and only trace concentrations (<0.25 mg/kg) were reported in sample TRS-20B.

4.7 Sediment Sampling and Analysis. For the purposes of this report, sediment means solid material, either mineral or organic, that is in suspension, is transported, or has been moved from its site of origin, situated on the bottom of lakes, ponds, streams, rivers or the ocean. Sediments are found in tidal waters below the mean high water line and below the upper boundary of a bank that abuts and confines a water body.

Three initial sediment samples were collected from the top six inches of the base of the drainage channel adjacent to the pistol/rifle range berm on April 1, 2014. The sampling locations are shown on Figure 4, and are identified as SED-1, SED-2 and SED-3. As requested by DES, each of the three samples was collected along the firing line in front of the backstop berm. The samples were collected with a stainless steel spoon that was cleaned with distilled water between locations. Lead concentrations ranging from 440 to 1,000 mg/kg were identified in the sediment samples (Table 5). These concentrations are considered to be elevated relative to New Hampshire sediment quality guidelines⁵. All three sediment samples surpassed the thresholds for aquatic life at both the Threshold Effect Concentration (TEC- 36 mg/kg) and the Probable Effect Concentration (PEC- 128 mg/kg). TEC is a screening value below which adverse biological effects are unlikely; PEC is a screening value above which adverse biological effects are likely.

In a letter March 4 2015, DES requested that a Sampling and Analysis Plan be prepared to cover future surface water and sediment sampling events. That plan was prepared and submitted to DES on June 10, 2015, revised based on DES comments, and finalized on August 2, 2016.

Additional sediment samples were collected on September 28 and 30, 2016 using the techniques presented in the Sampling and Analysis Plan. The sampling locations are shown on Figure 6. Three sediment samples were collected from the pistol/rifle range drainage channel in addition to the three initial samples discussed above. Sample SED-1A is located to the south of the shooting berm and was chosen to identify any

⁵ NHDES, 2005. Evaluation of Sediment Quality Guidance Document. DES-WD-04-9.

contamination in sediment upgradient of the shooting area. Sediment sample SED-4 is located just downstream of the pistol/rifle range and sample SED-5 is located further downstream just above the confluence with the trap range drainage channel. The locations of SED-4 and SED-5 were chosen to identify any contamination transported off the pistol/rifle range and into the drainage channel.

The trap range has three sediment sampling locations. The first location is positioned west of the trap range (SED-6) and was chosen to characterize sediment quality upgradient of the trap range. The second sampling location (SED-11) is positioned just prior to the confluence of the trap range drainage channel and the pistol/rifle range drainage channel. This location was chosen to characterize sediment quality where the channel leaves the trap range. The third sediment sampling location (SED-10) is located near the discharge point of another drainage channel that flows from north to south off the east side of the trap range. This location was selected to evaluate sediments where this channel enters the main tributary channel that flows east into the Little River. An additional sediment sampling location (SED-3A) was selected in the main drainage channel to characterize sediments leaving the site.

Seven sediment sampling locations are positioned in the Little River including an upstream location (SED-9), five adjacent to the site (SED-8, SED-12 to SED-15) and one downstream from the site (SED-7). These locations were chosen to identify background concentrations and to evaluate any impacts to sediments from the site.

The data are presented in Table 5 and plotted on Figures 5a and 5b. The sediment data exceeding the Threshold Effect Concentration (TEC) of 36 mg/kg are shown in red on Figure 5a, and those exceeding the Probable Effect Concentration (PEC) of 128 mg/kg are shown in red on Figure 5b. In summary, all but one sample (upgradient Little River SED-9) surpassed TEC and 10 of the 16 surpassed PEC. As stated earlier, TEC is a screening value below which adverse biological effects are unlikely and PEC is a screening value above which adverse biological effects are likely.

An ecological risk assessment has not been conducted and is out of the scope of a Site Investigation as defined by DES regulations.

4.8 Surface Water Sampling and Analysis. Seven rounds of surface water samples have been collected to date, as summarized below. The first five sampling events were conducted in 2014, prior to the implementation of the Sampling and Analysis Plan (SAP). The final two events were conducted in 2016 in accordance with the SAP, which included both dry weather and wet weather sampling events. Sampling locations are shown on Figure 6. The laboratory reports are presented in *Appendix II*.

2014 Surface Water Sampling Events. Surface waters samples were collected in 2014 on the following dates April 1, May 12, June 6, June 13 and August 14. Samples from the first four sampling rounds were analyzed for total lead, and selected samples were also analyzed for total hardness. In their letter of July 17, 2014, DES pointed out that the Surface Water Quality Standards were developed based on dissolved lead concentrations. This matter was discussed with Rebecca Williams of DES on July 18, 2014 and it was agreed that the total lead data should be submitted. Samples collected during subsequent events were field filtered and submitted for analysis of dissolved lead. The samples were also field analyzed for pH. The 2014 surface water data are summarized in the table on Page 20, as originally prepared by Dr. Mathew Davis and used with permission.

As initially requested by DES, two surface water samples were collected from the drainage channel located between the shooting area and the pistol/rifle range berm. The samples were collected from an upgradient location at the southern end of the drainage channel (SW-1) and from the middle of the range along the firing line (SW-2). In addition to total lead, sample SW-2 was also analyzed for total hardness. At the time of sampling (April 2014), there was no observable flow in the channel, which contained approximately four inches of water. We also note that upgradient sample SW-1 is

located at the source area of the pistol/rifle range drainage channel and there is no other upstream surface water present.

A lead concentration of 63 μ g/L was detected in the downgradient surface water sample (SW-2) with a measured hardness of 28 mg/L, and a lead concentration of 72 μ g/L was detected in upgradient sample (SW-1). The higher lead concentration detected in the upstream sample could be explained by the fact that there was no observable flow in the swale at the time of sampling. These concentrations exceed the chronic and acute total lead criteria of 0.6 and 16 ug/L, respectively, at both sampling locations (at a hardness of 28 mg/L).

To further evaluate surface water quality within the drainage channels and the Little River, additional samples were collected in May and June 2014. Samples collected in May included: three from along the trap range drainage channel (SW-4, SW-5 and SW-6) and one from the main drainage channel located downgradient of the confluence of the trap range and pistol/rifle range channels (SW-3). Samples collected in June included: three from the Little River (SW-7, SW-8 and SW-9); four additional samples from the pistol/rifle range channel (SW-1, PR-SW-1, PR-SW-2, PR-SW-3); one from the trap range channel (TR-SW-1); and one from the downgradient location within the main channel (SW-3). The six surface water samples collected on June 13, 2014 were collected during a low intensity storm event.

Total lead concentrations across the trap range drainage channel range from 3 to 5 μ g/L, while the final sample (TR-SW-1), collected near the downstream end of the channel, exhibited an elevated total lead concentration of 67 μ g/L. All five samples collected from the pistol/rifle drainage channel exhibited elevated concentrations of total lead ranging from 55 to 89 μ g/L. Sample SW-3, collected downgradient of the confluence of the trap range and pistol/rifle range channels, exhibited the highest total lead concentration of 210 μ g/L.

The total lead concentration in the upgradient sample from the Little River (SW-9) was below reporting limits (<1 μ g/L). The midstream sample sample (SW-8) was reported as having an elevated lead concentration of 67 μ g/L, and the downgradient sample (SW-7) was found to have a concentration of 3 μ g/L.

Based on the data from the upgradient locations within both the trap range channel (SW-6) and the Little River (SW-9), background values of total lead in surface water at this site range from <1 to $3 \mu g/L$.

A follow-up round of surface water sampling was conducted in August 2014, immediately following a 24-hour rain event. The samples were filtered in the field with a .45 μ m filter and submitted for analysis of dissolved lead as opposed to total lead. pH levels were also measured in the field. The lead concentrations were essentially of the same order of magnitude as measured in the spring and early summer of 2014. pH levels were measured to be somewhat acidic, in the range of 5 to 6 pH units. These acidic levels are likely to promote lead mobility in the surface water⁶. As shown in the summary table on page 20, there is not a significant difference between the total lead results and the dissolved lead results, suggesting that the lead is soluble as opposed to being transported with small soil particles. In their comment letter dated March 4, 2015, DES has pointed out that the total and dissolved data were collected on separate dates, and that a concurrent set of total and dissolved samples would be required to determine the difference between the total and dissolved fraction.

⁶ National Shooting Sports Foundation, 1996. Lead Mobility at Shooting Ranges. Prepared by EA Engineering, Science, and Technology, Inc., 11019 McCormick Road, Hunt Valley, MD

Sample ID	Location	Date	Total Pb	Dissolved Pb	pН	Env.
SW-1	Pistol/Rifle	4/24/2014	72			Dry
	swale (N/A)	8/14/2014		55	5.0	Post Rain
	Distal/Difla	4/24/2014	63			Dry
SW-2	(N/Δ)	6/13/2014	60			Dry
		8/14/2014		76	5.1	Post Rain
	Downgradient	4/24/2014	3			Dry
SW 2	of PR-SW-1,	5/12/2014	21			Dry
5 W-5	TR-SW-1, and	6/13/2014	210			Rain
	PR berm	8/14/2014		310	5.1	Post Rain
SW/ A	Trap range	5/12/2014	5			Dry
SW-4	(middle)	8/14/2014		29	6.2	Post Rain
SW 5	Trap range	5/12/2014	3			Dry
SW-5	(up-gradient)	8/14/2014		3	5.0	Post Rain
SW/ C	Trap range	5/12/2014	3			Dry
5 W-0	(up-gradient)	8/14/2014		2	5.0	Post Rain
CW 7	Little River	6/6/2014	3			Wet
5 W-7	(down-gradient)	8/14/2014		3	5.7	Post Rain
SW-8	Little River	6/6/2014	76			Wet
	(middle)	8/14/2014		37	5.1	Post Rain
SW-9	Little River	6/6/2014	<1			Wet
	(up-gradient)	8/14/2014		1	5.1	Post Rain
PR-SW-1	Pistol/Rifle	6/13/2014	89			Rain
	(down-gradient)	8/14/2014		62	4.6	Post Rain
PR-SW-2	Pistol/Rifle	6/13/2014	76			Rain
	(middle)	8/14/2014		45	4.6	Post Rain
PR-SW-3	Pistol/Rifle	6/13/2014	55			Rain
	(mid-gradient)	8/14/2014		37	4.8	Post Rain
TR-SW-1	Trap range	6/13/2014	67			Rain
	(down-gradient)	8/14/2014		59	4.7	Post Rain

2014 Surface	Water Summary	Table. courtesv	of Dr.	Matthew	Davis
2011 Dullave	, acer Sammar	14010,0001000		1. Italia ii	D 4 1 10

Summary of Observed Lead (Pb) concentrations (ppb) in surface waters. Blue font denotes concentrations in excess of acute limits for total (16.16 ppb) and dissolved (15.77 ppb) lead for waters with hardness of 28 mg/L. Red denotes concentrations in excess of acute limits for total (61.45 ppb) and dissolved (50.61 ppb) lead for waters with hardness of 80 mg/L. Environmental conditions are inferred from reports and USGS hydrographs for Winnicut River. Stations with measured concentrations consistently below the acute limits are shaded gray. *[REVISED June 10, 2015]*

<u>2016 Surface Water Sampling Events</u>. Following the submittal of the SAP to DES on June 10, 2015, surface water sampling was conducted at 11 locations in the drainage channels at the site and the Little River. The sampling was conducted on November 8, 2016 as part of a "dry weather" sampling event and on December 1, 2016 as part of a "wet weather" sampling event. The samples were collected for dissolved lead and hardness, and field-tested for pH. The 11 sampling locations are shown on Figure 6 and are summarized in the table below. As specified in the SAP, a blind duplicate sample was collected from location SW-3 along with a field blank. The duplicate sample is identified as SW-3A and the field blank is identified as FB-1.

Sampling Location/ID Number	Analytical Parameter	Rationale
SW-1	Dissolved Lead, pH, Hardness	Upgradient from pistol/rifle range
SW-2	Dissolved Lead, pH, Hardness	In front of pistol/rifle range berm
PR-SW-3	Dissolved Lead, pH, Hardness	Downgradient from pistol/rifle range
PR-SW-1	Dissolved Lead, pH, Hardness	Upgradient of confluence of surface water from the pistol/rifle range and the trap range
SW-6	Dissolved Lead, pH, Hardness	Up-gradient location from the trap range
TR-SW-1	Dissolved lead, pH, hardness	Downgradient from the trap range and upgradient of confluence of surface water from the pistol/rifle range and the trap range.
SW-3	Dissolved lead, pH, hardness	Downgradient location from both the pistol/rifle range and trap range Indicative of surface water flow from both areas
SW-10	Dissolved lead, pH, hardness	In drainage channel flowing south and away from Little River (due to beaver activity). Indicative of surface water flow from trap range.
SW-9	Dissolved lead, pH, hardness	Upgradient location from the site in the Little River
SW-8	Dissolved lead, pH, hardness	Location adjacent to the site in the Little River
SW-7	Dissolved lead, pH, hardness	Downgradient location from the site in the Little River

<u>Surface Water Sampling - Dry Weather Event</u>. The 11 surface water stations were sampled as part of a "dry weather" sampling event, defined in the SAP as having an antecedent period of at least five days with less than 0.25 inches of rainfall in the study area. The samples were collected according to the protocol defined in the SAP. The locations and the raw data are shown on Figure 6a. The data and the respective hardness-corrected⁷ DES Water Quality Criteria⁸ are summarized in Table 6a.

The two upgradient locations (SW-6 and SW-9) were found to have background lead concentrations of <1 μ g/L, as well as concentrations less than both the Acute and the Chronic Water Quality Criteria.

As shown in Table 6a, lead concentrations detected in the samples collected from the seven sampling locations in the immediate proximity of the firing ranges are all elevated above the Chronic Water Quality Criteria, and four of the locations (SW-1, SW-10, PR-SW-3, TR-SW-1) were identified to have hardness-corrected lead concentrations greater than the Acute Water Quality Criteria. The highest lead concentrations of 270 and 290 μ g/L were measured in sample TR-SW-1 and SW-10, respectively. SW-10 is located in a drainage channel that drains along the east side of the trap range and flows south (likely due to beaver activity), discharging near the confluence of the trap range and pistol/rifle range drainage channels. TRSW-1 is located downgradient from the trap range and upgradient of the area of confluence of surface water from the pistol/rifle range.

Although the concentrations of lead in the midstream (SW-8) and downstream (SW-7) samples from the Little River are generally low, the concentrations do exceed conservative Chronic Water Quality Criteria.

⁷ The criteria were adjusted using the equations shown in Env-Wq 1703.24.

² NH DES, Env-Wq 1700, Table 1703.01, Water Quality Criteria for Toxic Substances.

<u>Surface Water Sampling - Wet Weather</u>. The 11 surface water stations were as part of a "wet weather" sampling event, defined in the SAP as a storm with a minimum rainfall of 0.5 inches over a 24-hour period, with caveats. The samples were collected according to the protocol defined in the SAP. The locations and the raw data are shown on Figure 6b. The data and the respective hardness-corrected DES Water Quality Criteria are summarized in Table 6b.

The two upgradient locations (SW-6 and SW-9) were found to have background lead concentrations of $\leq 2 \mu g/L$; however, only sample SW-9 had a concentration less than the Chronic Water Quality Criteria.

As shown in Table 6b, the lead concentrations at all seven locations in the immediate proximity of the firing ranges are elevated and have hardness-corrected lead concentrations greater than the Acute Water Quality Criteria. The highest concentrations of 560 and 230 μ g/L were measured at stations SW-10 and SW-3, respectively. The SW-10 station has been discussed previously. SW-3 is located within the main channel downgradient from both the pistol/rifle range and trap range.

Although the concentrations of lead in the midstream (SW-8) and downstream (SW-7) samples from the Little River are generally low, the concentrations do exceed conservative Chronic Water Quality Criteria.

<u>Comparison of Dry and Wet Weather Results</u>. The differences between the dry and wet weather sampling were not entirely as expected. Typically, we would expect lead concentrations to increase during the storm water flow due to flushing. This was the case at three locations: SW-2, SW-3 and SW-10. However, wet weather concentrations were lower than dry weather at the following locations: SW-1, PR-SW-1 and TR-SW-1. Concentrations stayed essentially the same at the following locations: SW-6, SW-7, SW-8, SW-9 and PR-SW-3. Additional surface water sampling will clarify these trends.

In summary, lead was detected at concentrations exceeding the Chronic Water Quality Criteria during both dry and wet sampling events at all of the locations except for the upgradient Little River sample (both events) and the upgradient trap range sample (dry event only). The Acute Water Quality Criteria was also exceeded at some of the locations during the wet and dry sampling events.

5.0 CONCEPTUAL SITE MODEL

Our conceptual model of contaminant transport is as follows. Shooting activities have resulted in deposition of lead bullets and bullet fragments/dust in the pistol/rifle range proper (including the backstop berm) as well as to the north and east of the current berm from historic activities. A separate pistol backstop berm was formerly located between the shooting shed and the existing berm in the pistol/rifle range. A portion of the pistol berm was combined with the existing berm in 2013 and the remaining soil was spread out over the range floor. As a result, some of the lead-impacted soil was buried deeper, up to at least 12 inches, resulting in lead concentrations above UCLs in this area. Lead is also likely to enter the shallow soil around the firing line from the vaporization of the lead out of the gun muzzle and subsequent deposition onto the ground⁹.

Shooting activities have also resulted in the deposition of lead shot in the trap range, and to the north of the range extending to the Little River. Elevated concentrations of lead do not extend north of the Little River except in one limited area. The maximum depth of lead-impacted soil above 400 mg/kg in the trap range is six inches.

We have not estimated the mass of lead in the soil using the laboratory concentrations measured as part of this investigation. This mass will be *de-minimus* as compared to the mass of the lead bullets and shot at the site, which has not been measured.

⁹ ITRC, 2003. Characterization and Remediation of Soils at Closed Small Arms Firing Ranges.

Dissolved lead has not been consistently identified at elevated concentrations in the groundwater, suggesting that it is not mobile in groundwater at the property. Therefore, we have not estimated a seepage velocity for dissolved lead. Shallow groundwater at the pistol/rifle range is a possible, but not likely dominant, source of dissolved lead migration into surface water.

Lead has been identified in the soil, sediment and surface waters at the site. The lead has likely entered the sediment from either: 1) the direct deposition of lead shot and/or bullet fragments, or 2) erosion of lead-impacted soil into the drainage channels, 3) the oxidation/weathering of lead shot and/or bullet fragments and subsequent deposition of the oxidized lead particles into the sediment, or 4) a combination of these scenarios. The lead has entered into the local surface waters, either by: 1) direct deposition and subsequent oxidation, 2) leaching from the underlying impacted sediment, or 3) erosion of the surrounding acidic soil during storm runoff events and/or seasonal flooding of the ranges. The lead will adsorb onto the soil particles and migrate into and with the surface water in a north-northeast direction, towards the Little River. The concentration of dissolved lead will be diluted in the Little River but it remains detectable downstream after a distance of 1,800± feet (SW-7).

6.0 POTENTIAL RECEPTOR SURVEY

For the purposes of this site investigation, potential receptors are considered to include the following categories: soil, groundwater, sediment and surface water. Indoor air is not considered a potential receptor, as the compound of interest is not volatile.

<u>Soil</u>. Soil on the floor of the pistol/rifle range is impacted with elevated concentrations of lead due to historical shooting activities. Gun club members and guests using the range are considered to be potential receptors.

<u>Groundwater and Groundwater Supplies</u>. Groundwater has not been significantly impacted by the historical site activities. Lead was detected at a concentration greater than applicable standards at one location (SGW-2; 21 μ g/L). However, this well was retested and found to have a concentration of 5 μ g/L. Lead was not detected above standards in the remaining monitoring wells. Arsenic and copper were not detected in the site groundwater at concentrations exceeding applicable standards.

Properties located within a 1,000-foot radius of the release area are shown on Figure 7, *Potential Receptor Map*. The well that services the subject property is currently the only drilled bedrock supply well located within the 1,000-foot radius; therefore, neighborhood supply wells are not considered to be potential receptors relative to the gun club property. The depth of the on-site supply well has not been determined. This well was tested as part of this investigation. Lead was detected at a concentration of 7 μ g/L, which is less than the applicable standard of 15 μ g/L. Additional testing would be required to determine if the source of the lead is the piping vs. the bedrock groundwater. Copper and arsenic were not detected above DES standards in the supply well sample.

<u>Sediments and Surface Waters</u>. Surface waters at the site include a drainage channel originating at the pistol/rifle range, a drainage channel that traverses the trap range and is joined by the pistol/rifle range channel, and the associated wetland areas surrounding the trap range and the Little River. The Little River is located $350\pm$ feet north of the northern extent of the trap range and $600\pm$ feet north of the pistol/rifle range and flows in an easterly direction, eventually emptying into the Atlantic Ocean.

The biota in the drainage channels, surface waters and wetlands across the site and the Little River are all identified as potential receptors, as lead has been detected at elevated concentrations in these surface waters bodies and their sediments.

7.0 SUMMARY and CONCLUSIONS

Exeter Environmental Associates, Inc. has completed a Site Investigation of the Hampton Rod and Gun Club property, located at 189 Atlantic Avenue in North Hampton, New Hampshire. The following conclusions and opinions are offered, based upon the information collected to date.

- In August 2013, personnel from the NH DES conducted an inspection of the property. DES observed that an area of forested wetland and perennial stream had been impacted as a result of trap shooting activities. DES also observed a drainage channel in front of the pistol/rifle range berm. This Site Investigation was conducted to determine the extent of contamination at the site due to lead, copper and arsenic in soil, sediment, surface water and groundwater. Lead has been found to be the primary contaminant-of-concern.
- A total of eight groundwater monitoring wells were installed and sampled as part of the investigation. The inferred direction of shallow groundwater flow is to the north, towards the Little River. Site soils range from glacial till in the upland area near the parking lot, to primarily a fine silty sand overlying clay in the lowlands. The exception is a fine/coarse sand and rock fragment unit that was encountered in the boring for monitoring well MW-2. The depth to groundwater is generally very shallow in the lowland areas, including the pistol/rifle range; approximately one to two feet below grade during the summer and fall and completely water-saturated in the winter and spring. The depth to groundwater in the glacial till upland is 5± feet below grade.

- The historical shooting activities have generally not had an adverse impact on groundwater. Water quality in the shallow monitoring wells installed at the pistol/rifle range indicate that groundwater is a possible, but not likely dominant, source of lead migration into surface water.
- Elevated concentrations of lead have been documented in the pistol/rifle range soil. We estimate an areal extent of 25,000± ft² that exceeds SRS. Using a depth of 0.5 feet, this translates into a volume of 460± yd³ of lead-impacted soil that exceeds SRS. An estimated soil volume of 110± yd³ exceeds UCLs in the pistol/rifle range, assuming an average depth of two feet.
- Elevated concentrations of lead have been documented in the trap range soil. We estimate an areal extent of 150,000± ft² that exceeds SRS. Using a depth of 0.5 feet, this translates into a volume of 2,800± yd³ of soil that exceed SRS. An estimated soil volume of 650± yd³ exceeds UCLs in the trap range, assuming an average depth of 0.5 feet.
- Elevated concentrations of lead have been documented in the site sediments. pH of the sediment is acidic, in the range of 3.2 to 6.6 pH units. Lead concentrations ranging from 17 to 1,400 mg/kg were measured.
- Surface Water 2014: Four rounds of surface water samples were collected in 2014. Background concentrations of lead ($<3 \mu g/L$) were identified in two upgradient locations and in two of three Little River stations. Elevated concentrations of lead were measured in the remaining surface water stations. pH levels were measured to be acidic, in the range of 5 to 6 pH units. Hardness data were not uniformly collected in 2014.

Surface Water 2016: Two rounds of surface water sampling were conducted in 2016, following DES's approval of the Sampling and Analysis Plan in August 2016. A total of 12 surface water stations were sampled for dissolved lead and hardness as part of a "dry weather" sampling event on November 8th and a "wet weather" event on December 1st. In general, four surface water locations (one surface water location in the trap range and all three surface water locations in the Little River) were found to have relatively low lead concentrations of $<8 \mu g/L$, but only the two upgradient locations exhibited concentrations less than the Chronic Water Quality Criteria. Lead levels are elevated at all surface water stations in the immediate proximity of the two firing ranges. During the dry event, four locations were identified to have hardness-corrected lead concentrations greater than the Acute Water Quality Criteria. During the wet event, seven locations were identified to have hardness-corrected lead concentrations greater than the Acute Water Quality Criteria. The highest concentrations (ranging from 230 to 560) $\mu g/L$) were measured at stations located downgradient of the trap range and pistol/rifle range drainage channels. The concentrations in the Little River are generally low, although levels at two stations exceed conservative Chronic Water Quality Criteria.

8.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

Based upon the information collected to date, Exeter Environmental Associates, Inc. offers the following recommendations.

- A Remedial Action Plan should be prepared for the site, in accordance with Env-Or 606.10.
- Lime should be periodically applied to the site soil to minimize lead mobility.
- Surface water quality should continue to be monitored in the future.

9.0 LIMITATIONS

The objective of the investigation was to evaluate soil, sediment, groundwater and surface water quality across the site. The work scope was limited to: site inspections; the installation of four initial groundwater monitoring wells; the installation of four additional shallow groundwater monitoring wells at the pistol/rifle range; two rounds of groundwater sampling and analysis of water samples for the presence of dissolved lead, copper, and arsenic; sampling the on-site supply well for the presence of total lead, copper and arsenic; the collection of 78 soil samples from the pistol/rifle range and the analysis of all soil samples for lead and analysis of 3 samples for copper and arsenic; the collection of 64 soil samples from the trap range and the analysis of all soil samples for lead, analysis of 4 samples for copper and arsenic and 2 for polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons; the collection of 18 sediment and 11 surface water sample locations and analysis of the samples for lead; a potential receptor survey; and preparation of this report.

The conclusions presented in this report are based upon the information available to Exeter Environmental Associates, Inc., as of the date of this report. Any supplementary information that becomes available should be forwarded to Exeter Environmental Associates, Inc. for review and revisions as needed. The conclusions presented in this report are partially based upon information collected and/or provided by others. The accuracy of the opinions and conclusions drawn from this information is, therefore, based upon the accuracy of the information that was provided.

This report has been prepared in accordance with our standard *Terms and Conditions*. No other warranty, expressed or implied, is made.

FIGURES

USGS Site Locus Map Site Plan Potential Receptor Map






Water elevations measured June 5, 2015.								
ID	TOC	DTW	Water Elevation					
MW-1	100.99	7.25	93.74					
MW-2	95.45	2.37	93.08					
MW-3	93.67	1.55	92.12					
MW-4	93.23	1.47	91.76					
SGW-1	93.65	0.78	92.87					
SGW-2	93.51	0.70	92.81					
SGW-3	91.94	0.50	91.44					
SGW-4	91.98	0.60	91.38					
SW-1	93.92	2.35	91.57					
SW-2	94.10	2.75	91.35					
PRSW-3	95.88	4.55	91.33					
TOC = top of casing								
DTW = d	epth to wa	ater						



inferred direction of groundwater flow





Survey source: Jones & Beach Engineers





Water elevations measured November 18, 2016.								
ID	TOC	DTW	Water Elevation					
MW-1	100.99	7.20	93.8					
MW-2	95.45	2.48	93.0					
MW-3	93.67	1.74	91.9					
MW-4	93.23	1.53	91.7					
SGW-1	93.65	1.40	92.3					
SGW-2	93.51	1.33	92.2					
SGW-3	91.94	1.34	90.6					
SGW-4	91.98	1.30	90.7					
SW-1	93.92	2.29	91.6					
SW-2	94.10	2.75	91.4					
PRSW-3	95.88	dry						
TOC = top of casing DTW = depth to water								

inferred direction of groundwater flow

• monitoring well locations (water elevations)

tree line

Survey source: Jones & Beach Engineers



















Figure 7. Potential Receptor Map, 1,000' Radius & Property Bounds, Hampton Rod & Gun Club, North Hampton, NH



TABLES

Groundwater Elevation Data Groundwater Quality Data Soil Data Sediment Data Surface Water Quality Data

Table 1. Summary of Water Elevation Data.Hampton Rod & Gun Club, North Hampton, NH

		7/29/14		4/16/15		6/5/15		11/12/15		11/18/16	
Well ID	Top of Casing Elevation (ft)	Depth to Water (ft)	Water Elevation (ft)								
MW-1	100.99	7.64	93.4	7.17	93.8	7.25	93.7	7.73	93.3	7.20	93.8
MW-2	95.45	2.73	92.7	2.09	93.4	2.37	93.1	2.68	92.8	2.48	93.0
MW-3	93.67	1.80	91.9	1.37	92.3	1.55	92.1	1.78	91.9	1.74	91.9
MW-4	93.23	1.88	91.4	1.32	91.9	1.47	91.8	1.74	91.5	1.53	91.7
SGW-1	93.65	1.25	92.4	artesian	-	0.78	92.9	1.17	92.5	1.40	92.3
SGW-2	93.51	0.95	92.6	0.17	93.3	0.70	92.8	2.51	91.0	1.33	92.2
SGW-3	91.94	0.47	91.5	0.36	91.6	0.50	91.4	1.77	90.2	1.34	90.6
SGW-4	91.98	0.79	91.2	0.48	91.5	0.60	91.4	1.47	90.5	1.30	90.7
SW-1	93.92	NC	-	NC	-	2.35	91.6	2.42	91.5	2.29	91.6
SW-2	94.10	NC	-	2.64	91.5	2.75	91.4	2.68	91.4	2.75	91.4
PRSW-3	95.88	NC	-	4.47	91.4	4.55	91.3	4.65	91.2	Dry	N/A

Notes:

Top of casing refers to top of PVC casing. NC = Not Collected

	MW-1	MW-1	MW-2	MW-2	MW-3	MW-3	MW-4	MW-4	SGW-1	SGW-2	SGW-2	SGW-3	SGW-4	DW-1	AGQS
COMPOUND	4/1/14	7/29/14	4/1/14	7/29/14	4/1/14	7/29/14	4/1/14	7/29/14	7/29/14	7/29/14	3/16/15	7/29/14	7/29/14	4/1/14	(µg/L)
Lead	ND (<1)	ND (<1)	ND (<1)	ND (<1)	2	ND (<1)	ND (<1)	ND (<1)	1	21	5	6	1	7	15
Arsenic	1	NA	4	NA	6	NA	3	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	ND (<1)	10
Copper	2	NA	ND (<1)	NA	ND (<1)	NA	ND (<1)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	81	1300
Conductance		590		228		267		276	798	848		591	407		-
pН		6.3		6.6		6.4		6.5	5.8	6.3		6	6		-

Table 2.Summary of Groundwater Analytical ResultsHampton Rod and Gun Club, North Hampton, NH

NOTES:

AGQS = Ambient Groundwater Quality Standard

Concentrations are in micrograms per liter (equivalent to parts per billion, ppb)

ND = None Detected; NS = No Standard; NA = Not Analyzed

Shaded data indicates the concentration exceeds the applicable standard.

	Laboratory Results						
	Lead	Arsenic	Copper	pН			
SRS	400	11	NS				
S-1A (0-6")	320	-	-	-			
S-1B (6-12")	130	-	-	-			
S-2A (0-6")	31,000	46	310	-			
S-2B (6-12")	18	-	-	-			
S-3A (0-6")	2,200	-	-	-			
S-3B (6-12")	31	-	-	-			
S-4A (0-6")	47	-	-	-			
S-4B (6-12")	20	-	-	-			
S-5A (0-6")	66	-	-	-			
S-5B (6-12")	28,000	64	600	-			
S-5 (20-24")	250	-	-	-			
S-6A (0-6")	38,000	-	-	-			
S-6B (6-12")	81	-	-	-			
S-7A (0-6")	170	-	-	-			
S-7B (6-12")	200	-	-	-			
S-8A (0-6")	1,400	-	-	-			
S-8B (6-12")	23	-	-	-			
S-9A (0-6")	290	-	-	-			
S-9B (6-12")	650	3.8	7.5	-			
S-9 (20-24")	6	-	-	-			
S-10A (0-6")	110	-	-	-			
S-10B (6-12")	42	-	-	-			
S-11A (0-6")	100	-	-	-			
S-11B (6-12")	220	-	-	-			
S-12A (0-6")	270	-	-	-			
S-12B (6-12")	300	-	-	-			
S-13A (0-6")	84	-	-	4.2			
S-13B (6-12")	9	-	-	4.2			
S-14A (0-6")	20	-	-	4.7			
S-14B (6-12")	17	-	-	4.7			
S-15A (0-6")	100	-	-	2.9			
S-15B (6-12")	65	-	-	4			
S-16A (0-6")	110	-	-	4.9			
S-16B (6-12")	23	-	-	4.4			
S-17A (0-6")	80	-	-	4.4			
S-17B (6-12")	27	-	-	4.5			
S-18A (0-6")	150	-	-	3.6			
S-18B (6-12")	150	-	-	3.6			
S-19A (0-6")	180	-	-	3.7			
S-19B (6-12")	8	-	-	3.8			
S-20A (0-6")	260	-	-	4.8			
S-20B (6-12")	56	-	-	4.8			

Table 3a. Summary of Soil Analytical Results (mg/kg) Pistol/Rifle Range, Hampton Rod & Gun Club

Notes SRS = Soil Remediation Standard; NS = No Standard Shaded data exceed SRS

	L	ts		
	Lead	Arsenic	Copper	pН
SRS	400	11	NS	
S-21A (0-6")	40	-	-	4.9
S-21B (6-12")	43	-	-	5.2
S-22A (0-6")	990	-	-	4.8
S-22B (6-12")	41	-	-	5.2
S-23A (0-6")	260	-	-	4.8
S-23B (6-12")	43	-	-	5
S-24A (0-6")	660	-	-	5.4
S-24B (6-12")	88	-	-	5.8
S-25A (0-6")	340	-	-	4.4
S-25B (6-12")	390	-	-	4.6
S-26A (0-6")	160	-		5.2
S-26B (6-12")	4	-	-	5.9
S-27A (0-6")	24	-	-	5
S-27B (6-12")	23	-	-	5.1
S-28A (0-6")	83	-	-	5.7
S-28B (6-12")	190	-	-	6.5
S-29 A (0-6")	370	-	-	-
S-29 B (6-12")	93	-	-	-
S-30 A (0-6")	1,100	-	-	-
S-30 B (6-12")	220	-	-	-
S-31 A (0-6")	1,700	-	-	5.2
S-31 B (6-12")	45	-	-	6.1
S-32 A (0-6")	41	-	-	5.1
S-32 B (6-12")	57	-	-	5.2
S-33 A (0-6")	290	-	-	5.1
S-33 B (6-12")	37	-	-	5.1
S-34 A (0-6")	50	-	-	-
S-34 B (6-12")	13	-	-	-
S-35 A (0-6")	330	-	-	-
S-35 B (6-12")	12	-	-	-
S-36 A (0-6")	50	-	-	-
S-36 B (6-12")	14	-	-	-
S-37 (0-6")		not sa	mpled	
S-38 (0-6")	9	-	-	4.8
S-39 (0-6")	10	-	-	4.8
S-40 (0-6")	31	-	-	4.2
S-41 (0-6")	110	-	-	3.5
S-42 (0-6")	400	-	-	3.8
S-43 (0-6")	42	-	-	4.4

Table 3b. Summary of Soil Analytical Results (mg/kg) Pistol/Rifle Range, Hampton Rod & Gun Club

Notes

SRS = Soil Remediation Standard; NS = No Standard Shaded data exceed SRS

	l	_aboratory Results	\$	
	Lead	Arsenic	Copper	pН
SRS	400	11	NS	
TRS-1A (0-6")	540	-	-	-
TRS-1B (6-12")	6	-	-	-
TRS-2A (0-6")	40	-	-	-
TRS-2B (6-12")	8	-	-	-
TRS-3A (0-6")	380	-	-	-
TRS-3B (6-12")	18	-	-	-
TRS-4A (0-6")	NT	-	-	-
TRS-4B (6-12")	NT	-	-	-
TRS-5A (0-6")	730	-	-	-
TRS-5B (6-12")	9	-	-	-
TRS-6A (0-6")	359	-	-	-
TRS-6B (6-12")	12	-	-	-
TRS-7A (0-6")	140	-	-	-
TRS-7B (6-12")	7	-	-	-
TRS-8A (0-6")	NT	-	-	-
TRS-8B (6-12")	NT	-	-	-
TRS-9A (0-6")	1,400	-	-	-
TRS-9B (6-12")	24	-	-	-
TRS-10A (0-6")	690	-	-	-
TRS-10B (6-12")	11	-	-	-
TRS-11A (0-6")	570	-	-	-
TRS-11B (6-12")	6	-	-	-
TRS-12A (0-6")	580	-	-	-
TRS-12B (6-12")	4	-	-	-
TRS-13A (0-6")	33,000	-	-	-
TRS-13B (6-12")	49	-	-	-
TRS-14A (0-6")	2,800	-	-	-
TRS-14B (6-12")	14	-	-	-
TRS-15A (0-6")	1,800	-	-	-
TRS-15B (6-12")	8	-	-	-
TRS-16A (0-6")	540	-	-	-
TRS-16B (6-12")	10	-	-	-
TRS-17A (0-6")	6	<1	2	5.7
TRS-17B (6-12")	12	-	-	-
TRS-18A (0-6")	49,000	210	15	5.7
TRS-18B (6-12")	180	-	-	-
TRS-19A (0-6")	600	66	7	6.9
TRS-19B (6-12")	7	-	-	-
TRS-20A (0-6")	330	11	5	4.3
TRS-20B (6-12")	13	-	-	-

Table 4a. Summary of Soil Analytical Results - Metals Hampton Rod and Gun Club - Trap Range (mg/kg)

Notes

SRS = Soil Remediation Standard; NS = No Standard Shaded data exceed SRS

	l	_aboratory Results	6	
	Lead (400)	Arsenic (11)	Copper (NS)	pН
SRS	400	11	NS	
TRS-21 (0-6")	29,000	-	-	4
TRS-22 (0-6")	35,000	-	-	4.7
TRS-23 (0-6")	840	-	-	4.7
TRS-24 (0-6")	30,000	-	-	4.2
TRS-25 (0-6")	89	-	-	4.2
TRS-26 (0-6")	85	-	-	4.1
TRS-27 (0-6")	250	-	-	4.2
TRS-28 (0-6")	36	-	-	4.7
TRS-29 (0-6")	22	-	-	5
TRS-30 (0-6")	20	-	-	5
TRS-31 (0-6")	21	-	-	4.4
TRS-32 (0-6")	28	-	-	4.8
TRS-33 (0-6")	69	-	-	5.4
TRS-34 (0-6")	1,300	-	-	5.5
TRS-35 (0-6")	25	-	-	4.2
TRS-36 (0-6")		Not Sa	ampled	
TRS-37 (0-6")		Not Sa	ampled	
TRS-38 (0-6")	9	-	-	4.8
TRS-39 (0-6")	10	-	-	4.8
TRS-40 (0-6")	31	-	-	4.2
TRS-41 (0-6")	110	-	-	3.5
TRS-42 (0-6")	400	-	-	3.8
TRS-43 (0-6")	42	-	-	4.4
TRS-44 (0-6")	140	-	-	5.4
TRS-45 (0-6")	690	-	-	4.4
TRS-46 (0-6")	110	-	-	4.1

Table 4b. Summary of Soil Analytical Results - Metals Hampton Rod and Gun Club - Trap Range

Notes

SRS = Soil Remediation Standard; NS = No Standard Shaded data exceed SRS

Table 5. Summary of Sediment Analytical Results

Hampton Rod & Gun Club

SAMPLE		Pb Laboratory Results			
0-6"	nH	TEC	PEC		
	pri	36 mg/kg	128 ma/ka		
SED-1	-	1000	1000		
SED-2	-	440	440		
SED-3	-	840	840		
SED-1A	5.3	150	150		
SED-3A	6	850	850		
SED-3B	6.2	860	860		
SED-4	5	1,200	1,200		
SED-5	3.4	230	230		
SED-6	5.3	75	75		
SED-7	5.9	110	110		
SED-8	6.1	250	250		
SED-9	6.6	17	17		
SED-10	5.7	1,400	1,400		
SED-11	3.5	48	48		
SED-12	6.2	120	120		
SED-13	6	140	140		
SED-14	6	85	85		
SED-15	5.7	74	74		

Notes:

TEC = Threshold Effect Concentration

PEC = Probable Effect Concentration

SED-1, 2, 3 collected on 4-24-14

SED-1A to 12 collected 9-28-16

SED-13 to 15 collected 9-30-16

	SW-1	SW-2	SW-3	SW-3A	SW-6	SW-7	SW-8	SW-9	SW-10	PRSW1	PRSW3	TRSW1
COMPOUND	11/8/16	11/8/16	11/8/16	SW-3 dup	11/8/16	11/8/16	11/8/16	11/8/16	11/8/16	11/8/16	11/8/16	11/8/16
Lead, dissolved	97	11	59	60	<1	8	4	<1	290	100	62	270
hardness	23	52	110	110	90	86	98	93	71	130	35	180
рН	5.7	6.4	6.6	6.6	7	6.6	6.9	7.1	6.5	5.8	7	5.6
Acute Std	14	35	92	92	71	67	80	74	53	114	21	173
Chronic Std	0.5	1	4	4	3	3	3	3	2	4	0.8	7

Table 6a. Summary of Surface Water Analytical Results - November 2016 "dry" Hampton Rod and Gun Club, North Hampton, NH

Table 6b. Summary of Surface Water Analytical Results - December 2016 "wet"

	SW-1	SW-2	SW-3	SW-3A	SW-6	SW-7	SW-8	SW-9	SW-10	PRSW1	PRSW3	TRSW1
COMPOUND	12/1/16	12/1/16	12/1/16	SW-3 dup	12/1/16	12/1/16	12/1/16	12/1/16	12/1/16	12/1/16	12/1/16	12/1/16
Lead, dissolved	56	67	230	230	2	6	2	0.5	560	25	62	20
hardness	6	21	33	33	22	28	30	30	30	29	16	29
рН	6.2	6.3	5.6	5.6	6.2	6.1	6.2	6.3	6.4	4.3	5.9	3
Acute Std	11	11	20	20	12	16	18	18	18	17	11	17
Chronic Std	0.4	0.4	0.8	0.8	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.4	0.7

NOTES:

concentrations are in micrograms per liter (equivalent to parts per billion, ppb)

Std = hardness-corrected surface water standard per Env-wq 1700 (μ g/L)

bold type indicates value exceeds standard

field blank = 3 ppb

SITE PHOTOGRAPHS



Photo #1. Southeast-facing overview of the pistol/rifle range.



Photo #2. View of the drainage channel at the pistol/rifle range.



Photo #3. View of the isolated wetland area that is the origin of the drainage channel at the pistol/rifle range. May 26, 2015.



Photo #4. Low altitude aerial photograph of pistol/rifle range showing drainage channel and shallow groundwater at this time of year. April 17, 2015.



Photo #5. South facing view of drainage channel, facing PRSW-3. Pistol/rifle range and berm are visible at rear. April 16, 2014.



Photo #6. Northeast facing view of trap range. Clay target debris is visible. April 24, 2014.



Photo #7. Low angle aerial photograph of trap range showing typical spring flooding conditions. April 17, 2015.



Photo #8. High angle aerial photograph of trap range, showing extent of clay pigeon debris, seasonal flooding and drainage channels emanating from trap range. April 17, 2015.



Photo #9. Northeast facing view of the Little River. Trap range is out of view at bottom of photograph. April 17, 2015.



Photo #10. Winter soil sampling in the trap range. February 6, 2015.



Photo #11. View of trap range soil core from TRS-14; note the high clay content of the soil. February 7, 2015.



Photo #12. View of SW-10 surface water station at time of dry sampling event. November 8, 2016.



Photo #13. View of SW-10 surface water station at time of wet sampling event. December 1, 2016.

APPENDIX I

NETR Database Report

42.975502764821925, -70.81971041858172

Wednesday, May 06, 2015

Environmental Radius Report



2055 E. Rio Salado Pkwy Tempe, AZ 85381 480-967-6752

Summary

Aerial Views

2012, 2011, 2003, 1998, 1992, 1978, 1974, 1962

	< 1/4	1/4 - 1/2	1/2 - 1
National Priorities List (NPL)			
CERCLIS List			
CERCLIS NFRAP			
RCRA CORRACTS Facilities			
RCRA non-CORRACTS TSD Facilities			
Federal Institutional Control / Engineering Control Registry			
Emergency Response Notification System (ERNS)			
US Toxic Release Inventory			
US RCRA Generators (CESQG, SQG, LQG)			6
US ACRES (Brownfields)			
US NPDES			
US Air Facility System (AIRS / AFS)			1
NH Aboveground Storage Tanks			1
NH Underground Storage Tanks		1	12
NH Asbestos Disposal Sites			
NH Auto Salvage Facility			
NH Initial Spill Response			5
NH Solid Waste Facility			

Aerial Views









Aerial Views











National Priorities List (NPL)

This database returned 0 results for your area.

The Superfund Program, administered under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) is an EPA Program to locate, investigate, and clean up the worst hazardous waste sites throughout the United States. The NPL (National Priorities List) is the list of national priorities among the known releases or threatened releases of hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants throughout the United States and its territories. The NPL is intended primarily to guide the EPA in determining which sites warrant further investigation. The boundaries of an NPL site are not tied to the boundaries of the property on which a facility is located. The release may be contained with a single property's boundaries or may extend across property boundaries onto other properties. The boundaries can, and often do change as further information on the extent and degree of contamination is obtained.

CERCLIS List

This database returned no results for your area.

The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) investigates known or suspected uncontrolled or abandoned hazardous substance facilities under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA). EPA maintains a comprehensive list of these facilities in a database known as the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Information System (CERCLIS). These sites have either been investigated or are currently under investigation by the EPA for release or threatened release of hazardous substances. Once a site is placed in CERCLIS, it may be subjected to several levels of review and evaluation and ultimately placed on the National Priority List (NPL).

CERCLIS sites designated as "No Further Remedial Action Planned" (NFRAP) have been removed from CERCLIS. NFRAP sites may be sites where, following an intitial investigation, no contamination was found, contamination was removed quickly without the need for the site to be placed on the NPL, or the contamination was not serious enough to require Federal Superfund Action or NPL consideration.

CERCLIS NFRAP

This database returned no results for your area.

As of February 1995, CERCLIS sites designated "No Further Remedial Action Planned" NFRAP have been removed from CERCLIS. NFRAP sites may be sites where, following an initial investigation, no contamination was found, contamination was removed quickly without the site being placed on the NPL, or the contamination was not serious enough to require Federal Superfund action or NPL consideration. EPA has removed these NFRAP sites from CERCLIS to lift unintended barriers to the redevelopment of these properties. This policy change is part of EPA's Brownfields Redevelopment Program to help cities, states, private investors and affected citizens properties.

investors and affected citizens promote economic redevelopment of unproductive urban sites.
RCRA CORRACTS Facilities

This database returned no results for your area.

The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) regulates hazardous waste under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). The EPA maintains the Corrective Action Report (CORRACTS) database of Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) facilities that are undergoing "corrective action." A "corrective action order" is issued pursuant to RCRA Section 3008(h) when there has been a release of hazardous waste or constituents into the environment from a RCRA facility. Corrective actions may be required beyond the facility"s boundary and can be required regardless of when the release occurred, even if it predated RCRA.

RCRA non-CORRACTS TSD Facilities

This database returned no results for your area.

The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) regulates hazardous waste under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). The EPA''s RCRA Program identifies and tracks hazardous waste from the point of generation to the point of disposal. The RCRA Facilites database is a compilation by the EPA of facilities that report generation, storage, transportation, treatment, or disposal of hazardous waste. RCRA Permitted Treatment, Storage, Disposal Facilities (RCRA-TSD) are facilities which treat, store and/or dispose of hazardous waste.

Federal Institutional Control / Engineering Control Registry

This database returned no results for your area.

Federal Institutional Control / Engineering Control Registry

Emergency Response Notification System (ERNS)

This database returned no results for your area.

The Emergency Response Notification System (ERNS) is a national computer database used to store information on unauthorized releases of oil and hazardous substances. The program is a cooperative effort of the Environmental Protection Agency, the Department of Transportation Research and Special Program Administration"s John Volpe National Transportation System Center and the National Response Center. There are primarily five Federal statutes that require release reporting: the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA) section 103; the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act(SARA) Title III Section 304; the Clean Water Act of 1972(CWA) section 311(b)(3); and the Hazardous Material Transportation Act of 1974(HMTA section 1808(b).

US Toxic Release Inventory

This database returned no results for your area.

The Toxics Release Inventory (TRI) is a publicly available EPA database that contains information on toxic chemical releases and other waste management activities reported annually by certain covered industry groups as well as federal facilities. TRI reporters for all reporting years are provided in the file.



This database returned 6 results for your area.

The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) regulates hazardous waste under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). EPA maintains a database of facilities, which generate hazardous waste or treat, store, and/or dispose of hazardous wastes.

Conditionally Exempt Small Quantity Generators (CESQG) generate 100 kilograms or less per month of hazardous waste, or 1 kilogram or less per month of acutely hazardous waste.

Small Quantity Generators (SQG) generate more than 100 kilograms, but less than 1,000 kilograms, of hazardous waste per month.

Large Quantity Generators (LQG) generate 1,000 kilograms per month or more of hazardous waste, or more than 1 kilogram per month of acutely hazardous waste.

Location	42.97426, -70.83512				
Distance to site	4140 ft / 0.78 mi W				
Info URL	http://iaspub.epa.gov/enviro/fii_query_detail.disp_program_facility?p_registr y_id=110024828533				
EPA Identifier	110024828533				
Primary Name	RITE AID 3282				
Address	72 LAFAYETTE RD				
City	NORTH HAMPTON				
County	ROCKINGHAM				
State	NH				
Zipcode	03862				
NAICS Codes	812922				
Programs	RCRAINFO				
Program Interests	CESQG				
Updated On	21-OCT-09				
Recorded On	03-JUN-06				
NAICS Descriptions	ONE-HOUR PHOTOFINISHING.				
Program ID	NHD510191240				
Location	42.97226, -70.8354				
Location Distance to site	42.97226, -70.8354 4354 ft / 0.82 mi W				
Location Distance to site Info URL	42.97226, -70.8354 4354 ft / 0.82 mi W http://iaspub.epa.gov/enviro/fii_query_detail.disp_program_facility?p_registr y_id=110004103504				
Location Distance to site Info URL EPA Identifier	42.97226, -70.8354 4354 ft / 0.82 mi W http://iaspub.epa.gov/enviro/fii_query_detail.disp_program_facility?p_registr y_id=110004103504 110004103504				
Location Distance to site Info URL EPA Identifier Primary Name	42.97226, -70.8354 4354 ft / 0.82 mi W http://iaspub.epa.gov/enviro/fii_query_detail.disp_program_facility?p_registr y_id=110004103504 110004103504 KIMBALL AUTO BODY INC				
Location Distance to site Info URL EPA Identifier Primary Name Address	42.97226, -70.8354 4354 ft / 0.82 mi W <i>http://iaspub.epa.gov/enviro/fii_query_detail.disp_program_facility?p_registr</i> <i>y_id=110004103504</i> 110004103504 KIMBALL AUTO BODY INC 68 LAFAYETTE RD				
Location Distance to site Info URL EPA Identifier Primary Name Address City	42.97226, -70.8354 4354 ft / 0.82 mi W http://iaspub.epa.gov/enviro/fii_query_detail.disp_program_facility?p_registr y_id=110004103504 110004103504 KIMBALL AUTO BODY INC 68 LAFAYETTE RD NORTH HAMPTON				
Location Distance to site Info URL EPA Identifier Primary Name Address City County	42.97226, -70.8354 4354 ft / 0.82 mi W http://iaspub.epa.gov/enviro/fii_query_detail.disp_program_facility?p_registr y_id=110004103504 110004103504 KIMBALL AUTO BODY INC 68 LAFAYETTE RD NORTH HAMPTON ROCKINGHAM				
Location Distance to site Info URL EPA Identifier Primary Name Address City County State	42.97226, -70.8354 4354 ft / 0.82 mi W http://iaspub.epa.gov/enviro/fii_query_detail.disp_program_facility?p_registr y_id=110004103504 110004103504 KIMBALL AUTO BODY INC 68 LAFAYETTE RD NORTH HAMPTON ROCKINGHAM NH				
Location Distance to site Info URL EPA Identifier Primary Name Address City County State Zipcode	42.97226, -70.8354 4354 ft / 0.82 mi W http://iaspub.epa.gov/enviro/fii_query_detail.disp_program_facility?p_registr y_id=110004103504 110004103504 KIMBALL AUTO BODY INC 68 LAFAYETTE RD NORTH HAMPTON ROCKINGHAM NH 03862				
Location Distance to site Info URL EPA Identifier Primary Name Address City County State Zipcode NAICS Codes	42.97226, -70.8354 4354 ft / 0.82 mi W http://iaspub.epa.gov/enviro/fii_query_detail.disp_program_facility?p_registr y_id=110004103504 110004103504 KIMBALL AUTO BODY INC 68 LAFAYETTE RD NORTH HAMPTON ROCKINGHAM NH 03862 811121				
Location Distance to site Info URL EPA Identifier Primary Name Address City County State Zipcode NAICS Codes Programs	42.97226, -70.8354 4354 ft / 0.82 mi W http://iaspub.epa.gov/enviro/fii_query_detail.disp_program_facility?p_registr y_id=110004103504 110004103504 KIMBALL AUTO BODY INC 68 LAFAYETTE RD NORTH HAMPTON ROCKINGHAM NH 03862 811121 RCRAINFO				
Location Distance to site Info URL EPA Identifier Primary Name Address City County State Zipcode NAICS Codes Programs Program Interests	42.97226, -70.8354 4354 ft / 0.82 mi W http://iaspub.epa.gov/enviro/fii_query_detail.disp_program_facility?p_registr y_id=110004103504 110004103504 KIMBALL AUTO BODY INC 68 LAFAYETTE RD NORTH HAMPTON ROCKINGHAM NH 03862 811121 RCRAINFO CESQG				
Location Distance to site Info URL EPA Identifier Primary Name Address City County State Zipcode NAICS Codes Programs Program Interests Updated On	42.97226, -70.8354 4354 ft / 0.82 mi W http://iaspub.epa.gov/enviro/fii_query_detail.disp_program_facility?p_registr y_id=110004103504 110004103504 KIMBALL AUTO BODY INC 68 LAFAYETTE RD NORTH HAMPTON ROCKINGHAM NH 03862 811121 RCRAINFO CESQG 27-JAN-12				
Location Distance to site Info URL EPA Identifier Primary Name Address City County State Zipcode NAICS Codes Programs Program Interests Updated On Recorded On	42.97226, -70.8354 4354 ft / 0.82 mi W http://iaspub.epa.gov/enviro/fii_query_detail.disp_program_facility?p_registr y_id=110004103504 110004103504 KIMBALL AUTO BODY INC 68 LAFAYETTE RD NORTH HAMPTON ROCKINGHAM NH 03862 8111121 RCRAINFO CESQG 27-JAN-12 01-MAR-00				
Location Distance to site Info URL EPA Identifier Primary Name Address City County State Zipcode NAICS Codes Programs Program Interests Updated On Recorded On NAICS Descriptions	42.97226, -70.8354 4354 ft / 0.82 mi W http://iaspub.epa.gov/enviro/fii_query_detail.disp_program_facility?p_registr y_id=110004103504 110004103504 KIMBALL AUTO BODY INC 68 LAFAYETTE RD NORTH HAMPTON ROCKINGHAM NH 03862 811121 RCRAINFO CESQG 27-JAN-12 01-MAR-00 AUTOMOTIVE BODY, PAINT, AND INTERIOR REPAIR AND MAINTENANCE.				

Location	42.98478, -70.83016				
Distance to site	4387 ft / 0.83 mi NW				
Info URL	http://iaspub.epa.gov/enviro/fii_query_detail.disp_program_facility?p_registr y_id=110004097183				
EPA Identifier	110004097183				
Primary Name	NORTH HAMPTON CHIROPRACTIC OFF				
Address	118 LAFAYETTE RD				
City	NORTH HAMPTON				
County	ROCKINGHAM				
State	NH				
Zipcode	03862				
Programs	RCRAINFO				
Program Interests	CESQG				
Updated On	26-JAN-12				
Recorded On	01-MAR-00				
Program ID	NHD500018528				
Location	42.98348, -70.83223				
Distance to site	4432 ft / 0.84 mi NW				
Info URL	http://iaspub.epa.gov/enviro/fii_query_detail.disp_program_facility?p_registr y_id=110023055600				
EPA Identifier	110023055600				
Primary Name	MATT WOODS EASTCOAST CLASSIC AUTO & RESTORAT				
Address	12 ELM RD				
City	NORTH HAMPTON				
County	ROCKINGHAM				
State	NH				
Zipcode	03862				
NAICS Codes	811121				
Programs	RCRAINFO				
Program Interests	CESQG				
Updated On	04-AUG-10				
Recorded On	28-NOV-05				
NAICS Descriptions	AUTOMOTIVE BODY, PAINT, AND INTERIOR REPAIR AND MAINTENANCE.				
Program ID	NHD510187073				

Location	42.97021, -70.83567
Distance to site	4679 ft / 0.89 mi W
Info URL	http://iaspub.epa.gov/enviro/fii_query_detail.disp_program_facility?p_registr y_id=110014354769
EPA Identifier	110014354769
Primary Name	NORTH ATLANTIC AUTO BODY & REPAIR
Address	58 LAFAYETTE RD
City	NORTH HAMPTON
County	ROCKINGHAM
State	NH
Zipcode	03862
NAICS Codes	811121
Programs	RCRAINFO
Program Interests	CESQG
Updated On	27-JAN-12
Recorded On	17-MAY-03
NAICS Descriptions	AUTOMOTIVE BODY, PAINT, AND INTERIOR REPAIR AND MAINTENANCE.
Program ID	NHD510074743
Location	42.98677, -70.82838
Distance to site	4719 ft / 0.89 mi NW
Info URL	http://iaspub.epa.gov/enviro/fii_query_detail.disp_program_facility?p_registr y_id=110017230904
EPA Identifier	110017230904
Primary Name	HILTUNEN NASH & MAGUIRE PC
Address	2 JUNIPER RD
City	NORTH HAMPTON
County	ROCKINGHAM
State	NH
Zipcode	03862
Programs	RCRAINFO
Program Interests	CESQG
Updated On	21-OCT-09
Recorded On	11-MAR-04
Program ID	NHD500022421

US ACRES (Brownfields)

This database returned no results for your area.

Brownfields are real property, the expansion, redevelopment, or reuse of which may be complicated by the presence or potential presence of a hazardous substance, pollutant, or contaminant. Cleaning up and reinvesting in these properties protects the environment, reduces blight, and takes development pressures off greenspaces and working lands. The Assessment, Cleanup and Redevelopment Exchange System (ACRES) is an online database for Brownfields Grantees to electronically submit data directly to The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)

This database returned no results for your area.

The NPDES module of the Compliance Information System (ICIS) tracks surface water permits issued under the Clean Water Act. Under NPDES, all facilities that discharge pollutants from any point source into waters of the United States are required to obtain a permit. The permit will likely contain limits on what can be discharged, impose monitoring and reporting requirements, and include other provisions to ensure that the discharge does not adversely affect water quality.

US Air Facility System (AIRS / AFS)



This database returned 1 results for your area.

The Air Facility System (AIRS / AFS) contains compliance and permit data for stationary sources of air pollution (such as electric power plants, steel mills, factories, and universities) regulated by EPA, state and local air pollution agencies. The information in AFS is used by the states to prepare State Implementation Plans (SIPs) and to track the compliance status of point sources with various regulatory programs under Clean Air Act.

US Air Facility System (AIRS / AFS)

Location	42.98497, -70.8299
Distance to site	4398 ft / 0.83 mi NW
Info URL	http://iaspub.epa.gov/enviro/fii_query_detail.disp_program_facility?p_registr y_id=110002360668
EPA Identifier	110002360668
Primary Name	MOBILE MANIA
Address	122 LAFAYETTE ST
City	NORTH HAMPTON
County	ROCKINGHAM
State	NH
Zipcode	03256
NAICS Codes	517210
SIC Codes	4812, PRIV
SIC Descriptions	RADIOTELEPHONE COMMUNICATIONS
Programs	AIRS/AFS
Program Interests	AIR MINOR
Updated On	30-APR-14
Recorded On	01-MAR-00
Program ID	3301590794

NH Aboveground Storage Tanks



This database returned 1 results for your area.

The New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services provides information about registered above-ground storage tanks. The database includes tank site informatin such as name and location of the facility, the owner, registration date and whether the tanks are active or closed.

NH Aboveground Storage Tanks

Location	42.97348, -70.8323
Distance to site	3441 ft / 0.65 mi W
Facility ID	950333A
Site Number	199503033
Site Name	LAMPREY BROS BULK STORAGE
Facility Owner Name	LAMPREY BROTHERS INC
Registration Date	06/10/2002
Active Tanks	2
Closed Tanks	5
City	NORTH HAMPTON
Address	227R ATLANTIC AVE



This database returned 13 results for your area.

Underground Storage Tanks (UST) containing hazardous or petroleum substances are regulated under Subtitle I of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). The New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services maintains a list of registered USTs. The database includes tank site informatin such as name and location of the facility, the owner, registration date and whether the tanks are active or closed.

42.97004, -70.82236 Location 2115 ft / 0.4 mi SW **Distance to site Facility ID** 0110772 Site Number 199609043 Site Name NORTH HAMPTON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL **Owner Name** NORTH HAMPTON SCHOOL DIST **Registration Date** 02/12/2008 **Active Tanks** 1 **Closed Tanks** 2 City NORTH HAMPTON Address 201 ATLANTIC AVE Location 42.97348, -70.8323 **Distance to site** 3441 ft / 0.65 mi W **Facility ID** 0112109 Site Number 199503033 LAMPREY BROS BULK STORAGE Site Name **Owner Name** LAMPREY BROTHERS INC **Registration Date** 07/26/2001 **Closed Tanks** 7 City NORTH HAMPTON Address 227R ATLANTIC AVE Location 42.97984, -70.83378 4076 ft / 0.77 mi W **Distance to site Facility ID** 0114957 Site Number 199904010 Site Name HENDRY AUTOMOTIVE **Owner Name** PETER SIMMONS **Closed Tanks** 4 City NORTH HAMPTON Address 74 LAFAYETTE ROAD Location 42.97984, -70.83378 4076 ft / 0.77 mi W **Distance to site Facility ID** 0112608 Site Number 199101036 Site Name NORTH HAMPTON CIRCLE K **IRVING OIL MARKETING INC Owner Name Registration Date** 11/26/2008 **Active Tanks** 3 **Closed Tanks** 9 City NORTH HAMPTON Address 73 LAFAYETTE RD

Location **Distance to site Facility ID** Site Number Site Name **Owner Name Registration Date Closed Tanks** City Address Location **Distance to site Facility ID** Site Number Site Name **Owner Name Registration Date Closed Tanks** City Address Location **Distance to site Facility ID** Site Number Site Name **Owner Name Registration Date Active Tanks Closed Tanks** City Address Location **Distance to site Facility ID** Site Number Site Name **Owner Name Registration Date Closed Tanks** City Address

42.97984, -70.83378 4076 ft / 0.77 mi W 0110144 198604005 GIANT LIFT EQUIPMENT CO INC JOHN J PAONESSA 04/01/1986 1 NORTH HAMPTON 136 LAFAYETTE RD 42.98191, -70.83262 4165 ft / 0.79 mi NW 0112147 198605287 COMMUNITY MOTORS **RICHARD A CONSIDINE** 05/14/1986 2 NORTH HAMPTON 110 LAFAYETTE RD RTE 1 42.98451, -70.83048 4367 ft / 0.83 mi NW 0113527 199106020 NORTH HAMPTON GROCERY NORTH HAMPTON GROCERY 04/21/1995 3 6 NORTH HAMPTON **180 LAFAYETTE RD** 42.98451, -70.83048 4367 ft / 0.83 mi NW 0110231 198603034 **PINE HAVEN MOTEL** WALTER WILHELM 03/25/1986 1 NORTH HAMPTON **183 LAFAYETTE RD**

Location 42.98451, -70.83048 4367 ft / 0.83 mi NW **Distance to site Facility ID** 0111189 Site Number 199706049 Site Name L & J GAS **Owner Name** L&J GAS C/O L TSOUMBANIKAS **Registration Date** 03/09/2010 **Active Tanks** 3 **Closed Tanks** 5 City NORTH HAMPTON Address 116 LAFAYETTE RD 42.98451, -70.83048 Location 4367 ft / 0.83 mi NW **Distance to site Facility ID** 0220284 Site Number 199707013 HAMPTON FORD, INC. Site Name **Owner Name** HAMPTON FORD INC **Registration Date** 02/11/1991 **Closed Tanks** 1 City NORTH HAMPTON Address **178 LAFAYETTE ROAD** Location 42.9826, -70.83331 Distance to site 4461 ft / 0.84 mi NW **Facility ID** 0115728 Site Number 200602018 Site Name HOBBS RESIDENTIAL **Owner Name** PAUL W HOBBS 1989 TRUST **Closed Tanks** 1 City NORTH HAMPTON Address 2 ELM RD Location 42.96625, -70.8055 5079 ft / 0.96 mi SE **Distance to site Facility ID** 0115875 Site Number 201008029 Site Name SMITH PROPERTY **Owner Name** HOWARD SMITH **Registration Date** 10/11/2010 **Closed Tanks** 2 City NORTH HAMPTON Address **112 ATLANTIC AVE**

Location Distance to site Facility ID Site Number Site Name Owner Name Registration Date Closed Tanks City Address 42.98966, -70.82121 5182 ft / 0.98 mi N 0112749 198606037 ROBINSON CONSTRUCTION INC RICHARD ROBINSON 06/10/1986 2 NORTH HAMPTON 205 LAFAYETTE ROAD

NH Asbestos Disposal Sites

This database returned no results for your area.

The database of Asbestos Disposal Sites was provided by the New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services.

Asbestos is the common name for a group of naturally occurring mineral fibers which are known for their high tensile strength and thermal insulating properties. Asbestos is commonly found in heating system insulation, vinyl floor tiles and sheet flooring, roofing paper and shingles, cement siding shingles, and a variety of other building construction products.

When asbestos is disturbed, it can break down into microscopic fibers that may become airborne. Once airborne, these fibers can be inhaled and trapped in the lungs, posing a health threat. Breathing asbestos can cause respiratory diseases including asbestosis, lung cancer, and mesothelioma, a cancer of the chest cavity lining. Generally speaking, the symptoms of asbestos-caused diseases do not appear until 10-35 years after exposure.

In New Hampshire, asbestos-containing products were manufactured for many years in Nashua, Meredith, and Tilton. In Meredith, the Keasbey & Mattison company operated an asbestos plant from the 1930's until 1962 when the plant was purchased by Amatex. Amatex continued to make asbestos products at the plant until late 1982. In Tilton, the Quinn-T manufacturing facility produced asbestos paper products for many years. In Nashua, from approximately 1900 until 1985, asbestos-containing building materials were produced at a plant on Bridge Street, owned and operated by the Johns-Manville Corporation.

Asbestos-containing waste from the Nashua plant was delivered for free to area property owners for use as fill. As a result, many residential, commercial, industrial, and public properties in the city of Nashua and the nearby town of Hudson are filled with asbestos waste. At the Tilton plant site, the company landfilled asbestos waste in two separate areas on the property. Those areas are no longer in use and are capped with soil materials. In Meredith, asbestos waste waste was disposed of at the town landfill, which is now closed and capped. The existence of other dump sites in Tilton and Meredith, if any, is not known. Inhalation is the primary exposure route of concern, because breathing asbestos fibers may cause them to become trapped in the lungs. Ingestion is another pathway of concern, because swallowing asbestos fibers may also cause the fibers to be trapped in body tissues. Asbestos is not absorbed through the skin, so merely touching it does not pose a significant risk to human health. However, if skin, clothing, tools, machinery, or other items become contaminated with asbestos fibers, the fibers can be inadvertently carried into the home or elsewhere, where they may become airborne or contaminate other surfaces through direct contact. Therefore, all surfaces that come in contact with asbestos waste, including skin and clothing, should be decontaminated to prevent more widespread contamination.

Asbestos fibers are not water soluble and do not move through groundwater to any appreciable extent. Based on studies of other insoluble particles of similar size, the expected migration rate of an asbestos fiber through soils by the forces of groundwater is approximately 1 to 10 centimeters (0.4 to 4 inches) per 3,000 to 40,000 years. Thus, asbestos is not considered a groundwater contaminant.

Although asbestos does not move with groundwater flow, it can move with surface water flow. Therefore, if asbestos waste is allowed to come in contact with rivers, wetlands and other surface water bodies, fibers may be transported to places that will result in human exposure, including intakes for drinking water supplies and recreation areas.

NH Auto Salvage Facility

This database returned no results for your area.

The New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services maintains a database of Auto Salvage Facilities. The primary environmental concern at motor vehicle recycling facilities is the potential for groundwater and surface water contamination due to mishandling of vehicular fluids, including gasoline, diesel fuel, oil, transmission fluid, power steering and brake fluids, gear oil, and mineral spirits. Motor vehicle recyclers also generate a number of other wastes, including: mercury from light switch assemblies, HID head lamps, display screen back lighting, and ABS brake sensors lead from leadacid batteries, wheel weights and battery cable ends chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs) and other refrigerants from airconditioning units sodium azide from air bags asbestos from brake shoes and clutches and waste tires.

NH Initial Spill Response



This database returned 5 results for your area.

The New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services maintains a database of unauthorized releases of oil and hazardous substances. The Spill Response and Complaint Investigation Section (SRCIS) covers a wide variety of functions including emergency response to petroleum and hazardous waste spills, and investigating complaints related to improper handling and disposal of petroleum, hazardous and solid wastes onto the ground or into surface waters of the state. SRCIS responds to a variety of spills and complaints including automotive accidents, residential heating oil spills, airplane crashes, illegal handling and disposal of infectious wastes, inspection of automobile salvage yards, asbestos disposal, leaking underground and leaking aboveground storage tank. The Spill Response and Complaint Investigation Section (SRCIS) covers a wide variety of functions including emergency response to petroleum and hazardous waste spills, and investigating complaints related to improper handling and disposal of petroleum and leaking aboveground storage tank. The Spill Response and Complaint Investigation Section (SRCIS) covers a wide variety of functions including emergency response to petroleum and hazardous waste spills, and investigating complaints related to improper handling and disposal of petroleum, hazardous waste spills, and investigating complaints related to improper handling and disposal of petroleum, hazardous and solid wastes onto the ground or into surface waters of the state. SRCIS responds to a variety of spills and complaints including automotive accidents, residential heating oil spills, airplane crashes, illegal handling and disposal of infectious wastes, inspection of automobile salvage yards, asbestos disposal, leaking underground and leaking aboveground storage tank.

NH Initial Spill Response

Location Distance to site Site Number Site Name Address City Facility Owner Location Distance to site

Site Number Site Name Address City Facility Owner

Location Distance to site

Site Number Site Name Address City

Location Distance to site

Site Number Site Name Address City

Location Distance to site

Site Number Site Name Address City Facility Owner 42.97348, -70.8323 3441 ft / 0.65 mi W 199503033 LAMPREY BROS BULK STORAGE 227R ATLANTIC AVE NORTH HAMPTON LAMPREY BROTHERS INC 42.97984, -70.83378 4076 ft / 0.77 mi W 199101036 NORTH HAMPTON IRVING 73 LAFAYETTE RD NORTH HAMPTON **IRVING OIL CORP.** 42.98877, -70.82316 4928 ft / 0.93 mi N 200211056 AUTO ACCIDENT 65 NORTH RD NORTH HAMPTON 42.98882, -70.82297 4937 ft / 0.94 mi N 200705021 FREEMAN RESIDENCE 160 LAFAYETTE RD UNIT 76 NORTH HAMPTON 42.96421, -70.83184 5241 ft / 0.99 mi SW 200606012 **PSNH** 39 CEDAR ST NORTH HAMPTON PSNH

NH Solid Waste Facility

This database returned 0 results for your area.

The Solid Waste Facility database is provided by the New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services and consists of landfills, transfer stations and incinerators.

APPENDIX II

Laboratory Reports & Field Sampling Logs

JOB Mampton Gun Club **EXETER ENVIRONMENTAL ASSOC., INC.** SHEET NO. CALCULATED BY JMS P.O. Box 451 DATE 4-2-4-14 Exeter, New Hampshire 03833-0451 (603) 778-3988 _____ DATE ____ CHECKED BY__ SCALE 1) Collect DW-1 : Total Lead, Copper, Assenic (2) Collect 2 SWS : Total Lead 5W -Upskeam center of berm (3) Collect 3 Sediments, O-6" : Total Lead SED-In first of perm Collect 9 Soils 0-6" (6rid) 9 Soils 6-12" (6rid) (4) 5-3 Soils 0-61 (Front of 3 Soils 6-1211 (Bern 5 soils -> lab Total Lead 3 soils > Lab Total Arsenic & Copper

214 JOB Hampton Gun Club EXETER ENVIRONMENTAL ASSOC., INC. SHEET N P.O. Box 451 CALCULATED BY MS BPC DATE 4-24-14 Exeter, New Hampshire 03833-0451 (603) 778-3988 Soil Sample Amt Shot 1853 Jar Depth LAB XRF Time Z bullet casing /802 0-10" .07/.13/.05/.0 S-IA 10:50 11:05 S-1B 6-12" .05/.09/0/.04 0-10" 0 Total Pb, AS CU 11:20 . 13/.17/.29/.19 S-2A 6-12" 0/0/0/0 5-20 A 11:25 6 0-6" .03/.07/.01/.01 5-3A 11:30 1135 0/0/.01/0 6-12" 5-3B Ð 11:45 0/0/0/0 6-6" S- 4A -0 11.55 0/0/0/0 5-4B -17-10-12" S-5A A 12:00 0/0/0.01/02 0-6 Total Pb/cu/AS S-5B 6-12" 12:05 245/4.6/1.6/16. 1 hullet 0-6" 6 Total pb 12:10 .19/.21/.25/11 5-10A 0 5-6B 6-12' 17:20.1/.01/.04/.11 0-6" 12:20 .02/.02/.01/.01 S-7A S-7B P 6-12" 13:05.02/.02/.01/.0% 12:35 0/.08/.01/.01 1:00 0/0/0/0 0-6" S-BA D S-88 Ð 6-12" 13:10 .051.04/.07/.04 0-6" 5-9A -6-5-9B 6-12' Total Pb, AB, Cu 1 bull Shard 13:15.26/.15/.22/.06 0-6" S-IDA Pb 13:20 .07/.01/.02/.01 Total Pb 13:40.01/01.01/0 S-10B 6-12 5-11A 0-6" 13:40 0/0/.01/.01 0-A 13:45.051.08/.1/.04 5-11B 10-12 ¢ø 13:50 .07/.1/.04/.08 14:05.01/.12/.03/.01 0-6" 5-12A S-12B 6-12"

PRODUCT 204-1 (Single Sheets) 205-1 (Padded

EXETER ENVIRONMENTAL ASSOC., INC. P.O. Box 451 Exeter, New Hampshire 03833-0451 (603) 778-3988

hundren Gun Club

SHEET NO

CHECKED BY

CALCULATED BY

4/24 DATE _

DATE

3/4

SCALE SW-1 Upstream Surface water 9:20 Midsteam surface Water SW.2 9:15 no lead Shot SED-1 9:30 .06/.14/.2/.6 2 SED-2 9:45 no lead Shot 100 .05/.03/.2/.05 -5E-3 9:50 - no lead shot .3/.3/.29/.23 5E-4 - no lead Shot .16/.02/.18/.04 10:00 DW-1 1540 total Pb, As, Cu 4 bullets shards Berm - 1 18/.27/.27/.17-0-6" 6 Berm-2 0-6" :16 /.15/.11/.15 Berm - 3 0-6" OSharks 0/0/0/0







⁹е

6/13/14 Surface 1/20 Sapery JMS/BPC



EXETER ENVIRONMENTAL ASSOC., INC. P.O. Box 451 Exeter, New Hampshire 03833-0451 (603) 778-3988

JOB Mampton Gun Club SHEET NO.

CALCULATED BY UMS SC

SCALE

~

71291 DATE DATE

CHECKED BY ____

845 155 EN Sampling Round WEL S.C.MS Tenpec pН Time DEPTTH WATER / PUEL WELL 6.30 18.50 590 7.64 12:35 17) MW-1 20.3 2.73 6.62 228 MW-Z 13:00 17' 17' 6.37 21.3 267 13:10 .80 mW-3 19.0 276 6.55 5.82 MULCI 1.88 17 13:20 22.8 798 56W-1 1,25 12:00 10 SGW-2 SGW-3 12:10 LOY 0.95 6.27 24.1 848 10 5.99 0.47 23.0 591 12:20 5610-4 5,99 10" 0,79 25.4 407 13:30 .

1

Ø

SAMPLING RECORD Exeter Environmental Associates, Inc.			DATE: 8/14/14 PROJECT: Hampton Gun Club SAMPLERS: J. Shope, / S. Conture			
SAMPLE ID	WATER LEVEL	# BAILS	PH	Conductivity	Тіме	NOTES
SW -1	_		5,03	123	8:15	20°C
Św-2	_	_	5,10	[8]	8:35	19.4°C
SW-3	_	-	5.06	260	9:00	19.6°C
SW-4	_		6.2	227	9:25	19.8°C
SW-5	-	_	5.01	210	9:30	19.8°C
SW-6	_	_	5.02	276	9:35	19.3°C
5W-7	_		5.73	177	11:10	21.1°C.
SW-8			5.10	ZIL	9:20	19.2°C
SW-9			5.08	202	8:40	19.5°C

1/2_

10.		=		-		2/2
SAMPLING RECORD Exeter Environmental Associates, Inc.				DATE: 8/14/14 PROJECT: Mampton Gun Club SAMPLERS: J. Shope 1 S. Contrate		
SAMPLE ID	WATER LEVEL	# BAILS	РН	CONDUCTIVITY	Тіме	Notes
PR-SW-1	-	-	4.56	33 D	8.55	19.6C
PR-SW-2	-		4.55	333	8:45	19.3°C
PR-SW-3	-	-	4.77	203	9110	P1.4°C
TR-SW-1	_		4.72	304	(3:50)	19.5°C
JOB Manpton Gun Club 1/2

CALCULATED BY UMS

CHECKED BY__

DATE 10-3-14

DATE

SCALE Soil Sampling in Pistol/Rifle Range - J. Shope SEA-- S Contrile EDA Jeffga EAILabs. com - J. GAKNE EAT No water in streams except main chance three trap range Soil Sample Depth Amt Shot/402.br Sample Time 10:37 JG/JMS 5-13A 0-6" 0 5-13B 10:52 JE/UMS 6-12" Ð 11:10 JG-JMS 0-6" 5-14A 11:16 JG-1MS 5-148 6-12" A 0-64 11:32 J6/UNS S-ISA A 10-12" 5-15B 11:41 NG-/JMS 11:30 5c/JM5 0-64 S-16A 5-16B 11:35 SC/TMS 6-1211 11:45 SC/JMS 5-17A 0-6" S-17B 6-12" 11:50 5c/UMS 12:10 Saluns 5-18A 0-10" A 5-18R 12:15 Sc/JM5 6-12" 5-19A 5-19B 5-64 JGUMS 12:00 40 6.12" Ar 12:03 JG/JM3 S-ZOA 0-6" 1275 SC/MS 5-20B 6-1211 1230 SUMB JE/JMS 5-21A 6-6" Ð 1220 6-2" 1225 5-21B

ston Eun Chub JOB KA SHEET NO. OF.

mS CALCULATED BY

CHECKED BY__

10-3-14 DATE ____

DATE

SCALE _

Soil Sample	Depth	Amt Shot/807 Ja	5 Sangle Time
5-224 5-228	0-6" 6-12"	Θ	1241 J6/JMS 1245 J6/JMS
5-23A 5-23B	0-6" 6-12"		1246 SC/018 1245 SC/0145
5-24A 5-24B	6-6'' 1/2''	H H	1328 J6/JMS
S-25A S-25B	0-6'' 6-12''	D - Shot avis	(335 J670ns 1330 Schms ell526" (335 Sc/Jms examption
5-26 A 5-26 B	0-6" 6-12"	4 pigeon Fraymonts steel:	s on grow D 1345 Jo- JMS Surface 1350 J6 KINS
5-27 A 5-27 B	0-6'' 6-12'	D D	1350 SC/UMS
5-28 A 5-28 B	0-6'' 6-12''	0-0	sin bottom 1400 Sc/JM5 excavadim



JOB Manpton Gun Club **EXETER ENVIRONMENTAL ASSOC., INC.** SHEET NO. P.O. Box 451 DATE 12/30/14 OMS CALCULATED BY..... Exeter, New Hampshire 03833-0451 (603) 778-3988 DATE CHECKED BY SCALE Sik VISit to locate soi sempling locations in 1) 5) k Usit Sam set up interior Brid Samples E trap rance Locate / Fing additional Soil Super / Dratino 2 pistol fifthe range and collect Santo Krom 0-61 8 back in afre for lab Sauples Prep 2 Notes Time S-29A (0-6") No shot a bulds 1430 5-29B (6-12") No shot or bullets 1435 (Shot gun shells on grond) Swiftice Near excavation) No Shot or bullets 5-30A (0-6") 1445 5-30B (6-12") No Shot is builds 1450

108 Fun Club

CALCULATED BY UMS SC

DATE 0-7-15

115

CHECKED BY DATE SCALE SOIL Sampling Log - Trap Range Bulets Shot Description Time Targets TRS-1A (0-61) 1150 Black Fibrons organic material None with some F-m Sand, little silt TRS-1B (6-12") dive tan f sitty sand 1150 Nore TRS-1C (24") Olive Clay, trace C. Sand None TRS-24 (0-6") 1240 DK br to brown, f-c sand and Shell Fingravee, little silt (Fill) TRS-2B (6-12") 12:40 Some as above Nore TRS -2C (24") 1240 dok br f-c Sand, tr. Silt None (AU) TRS-3A (0-6") DK br, Fibrio organic mat 1300 1 Target fragment at 6" TRS-3B(6-12") Gray, F-i sand and 1300 None graviel, tr. silt TRS-3C (24") Gran Fsand some silt 1300 None liffle clay TR5-54 (0-6") DK br, Fibrono organic mat 1329 17-Target fagments at 6" mothed ray & dk sity clay and clay ey silt 56 (6-12") 1325 Nme Gray and Some silt and clay 5C (24" 1325 None

Gun Clu JOB_ 2 ζ SHEET NO. OF 2-7-15 CALCULATED BY DATE

CHECKED BY.

DATE

		SCALE	
	Time		Bullets Shot
TRS-6A (0-6")	1520	DK br Suto trasp. /	1 argets
	_		Pragments
185-6B (6-12")	1520	Olive f silty sand A to clay	None
TRS-6C(24")	1520	Gray Clay	Mone
TY25-74 (0-61)	1545	DKbr & Gray F-m Sand Sm	Target Framentts
TRS-78 (6-12)	1545	dive FSI thy sand, little clay	none
TPS-7C (241)	1545	Olik gray, clay	Nore
TRS-9A (0-6")	1600	2"Black fibrons organic mat "	Nore
-785- 9B (6-121)	1650	Olik fsith sand, little clay	None
TRS-19C (24")	1650	olingay day, somesil+	NM2
-TRS-10A (0.6")	1625	1" Beach fibros organic mate	6 Target Fragments
TRS-10B (612")	1625	Olive SIHY day with tr. msend	Noe
-TRS-10C (24")	1625	Gray, day with lite f. Sand	Nore
TRS-11A (0-6")) 1650.	1.5" Black fibrous organic mattle a	2 Target fraguents
TRS-11B (6-12') 1650	Olive fire silly sand sme clay	TOO I'S Mone
TRS - 11C (24")	1650	Olve Silty clay., It fismal	Nore

JOB Gen Club

EXETER ENVIRONMENTAL ASSOC., INC. P.O. Box 451 Exeter, New Hampshire 03833-0451 (603) 778-3988

CALCULATED BY MS/SC

SHEET NO

OF

DATE 2-8-15

3

CHECKED BY_ DATE_ SCALE Bullets, Shot TIME Description Tarads TRS-12A (6-6") 3" Black fibries oranic not e 1035 vore & brown fine sand, littlesit olive brown, fire sand little Sitt TPS-12B (6-121) Nout 10:35 tr. clan (24" Te5-12C Grey silty clar None 1035 2.5" Black Forms organic motel TPS-13A (0-6") 1045 Nne 6 Olive Silfy clay Olice SIHY Clay 1045 TRS-138 (6-2") None mottled Olive & orange Cay trace Coarse saria 1045 1241 -130-None Black topsoil, frace fibrons organic matter A DK by Firesand, HSilt -TRS-14A (0-6") 100 NR DKbr Isand to sitt & mothed Tes-14B (6-12") 1100 NOR Olive & Orange fine silly sand and clay TRS-14C (21" 1100 None mottled of ive and wange fire sity Sand and Clay TTS-15A-06" " Black', fibrons organic met'l 1130 Nove. A Olive Silty day A olive Drown TRS-KBB (6-12") 1130 Olive brown fire sand None 125-15C (241) 130 NINP

Gin Club JOB_

SHEET NO.

CHECKED BY_

CALCULATED BY

DATE DATE

OF

Ł

2

4

SC

JMS

		SCALE	
			Bulats, Shot
	TIME .	Description	Tarsets
TRS-16A (06")	12-00	1.5" Black fibrons oganic matic & Olive gray Silts Clay & olive gray Fire	Nare
		SI IM Sara	
TRS-16B (6-12")	1200	Ollve gran Clayer Fsiltz Sand	Nore
Tes-16C (241)	1208	orange fire silty sand	Abre
TRS-17A (06")	1220	3" Black fibrows organic matte A gray fine Sund for silt	20 + Tanget Fragmarts Top 21
TRS-17 B (6-12")	690	motted gray clay into ovarge	. Nore
TRS-17 C (2411)	1220	Gray silty clan	None
TRS-18A (0-6")	/240	3" Place forms organic matil A Olive brown freshly sand	1 Shot gun sheet LIO Target Fragnest top 125 "
TES-18B(6-12")	1240	Olivebr Fsilty sand, little clay A 2" altor-black pat	None
TRS-18C (241")	1240	Olivegray, Sily clay of little	None
TRS-19A (06")	1360	4" Black fipped orcanic hat's A de br siller clay, little f. sand	720 Torret Fragments Top you
TRS-19B (6-12")	1350	Dk brown F-c Sand and gravel	Nore
TRS-19C (2411)	(3M)	Gray clay and f-c Sund and Graville, little Silt	Nore

JOB Gun Club SHEET NO. 5 OF 5 CALCULATED BY MS & DATE -

CHECKED BY_

DATE 2-8-DATE___

None

None

SCALE Billets, shot Description Time Targets 50% Junet Fraguet TRS-204 (0-6") Bluck, fibrons peat 1325

DK-gray, SIMy clay 1325 TRS-206 (6-12")

TRS-20(/243) Olive gray clay up lenses of orang P-c sund 1325

1. Car Mington Gun Club EXETER ENVIRONMENTAL ASSOC., INC. 2 P.O. Box 451 Exeter, New Hampshire 03833-0451 (603) 778-3988 - and the second second DATE 4-16-15 CALCULATED BY JMS/SC CHECKED BY_ And ed a netured Fi prigrie - alisation Can't get UL a His location 141 56W-2 - WL= 0.17' purged sage a low from persitile pinpines Per- concerted /filtered sample for dissource Pb anappio Time = 11:50 - WL = 0,36 SGW-3 WL = 0.48'56W-4 WL = 2,104' SW-2 W1 = 4.47' PRSW-3 -WL = 7,17' mw-1 mw-2 W1- 2091 MW-3 - WL - (.371 MW-4 WL- 1,32

The second se	20. ·
JOB Mapton @	sin club
SHEET NO 2	OF
CALCULATED BY JMSS	DATE 4-16-75
CHECKED BY	DATE

	······································	SCALE	
	Berahm pvc	Rendire	<u><u> </u></u>
SGW-3	91.94	6.34	98,28
	6.34		
		<u>98 '28</u>	
PRSW-3	95,88	- 2.40	
	0.11.0	40,28	
541-2	<u> </u>	4.10	
		color :	
SCW-1	9370	4.52	

an Cut JOB.

MS

S

SHEET NO. ____

CALCULATED BY CHECKED BY_

hle DATE DATE

675	- Installed Staff Causes
	- Survaged Sur-
001 = SW1	$-\kappa\rhoc$
$m_{2} = SW - 2$	
003 = PRSW-3	
OUY = TRSW-14	
015 - ARSW-1	
000 = 5 - 20	
00 + - 5 - 21	
010 - 4-30	
$011 - 5\omega - 3$	
012 - 5-19	
013 - 5-31	-> 1115
014 = 5-32	-> 11 30 S TOTR Lead / p.M
015 = 5-33	-> /145 > 0-6"/6-12"
	No Shot of bullets obsaled
0177 -26	in the three surpces
1 A G F Z Z Z Z	
0.70 - 5.76	
021 - 577	
027 - 5-78	
023 - 5-13	
024 = 5+14	
122 = 510	
079 = 5-18	
U29 - S-1	
030 = 5-2	
03 - 5-3 *	
032 - SEW-2	
U35 = 5611-1	

JOB_ Gin Club

SHEET NO.

CALCULATED BY

UMS 150 DATE 5-26-15

___ DATE ____

CHECKED BY____

Location	Devation	Reading	H.T.
56W-3	91.94	6.14	98:08
5W-2	94.11	98.08	/
SW-1-	93,92	9.81.08	

PRSW-3 95.88

/16/15 data 4 Survey Ser X



JOB <u>Harpton Gun Club</u> SHEET NO.______ OF_____ CALCULATED BY JMS DATE 6-5-15 EXETER ENVIRONMENTAL ASSOC., INC. P.O. Box 451 Exeter, New Hampshire 03833-0451 (603) 778-3988 CHECKED BY____ _____ DATE ____ SCALE . Elevation Survey Sunnary (Jms/sc) Pesul-3 = 95.88 54-2 = 94.10 = 93.92 5W-1 (J/B)56W-1 93.65 7 56W-2 = 93.51 = 91.94 56W-3 - 91,98 5GW-4 mw-1 100.99 11 = 95.45 = 93.67 = 93.23 mw-2 mu-3 mu-4



Sun Clu JOB

SHEET NO.

SC

CALCULATED BY

CHECKED BY_

-19-15 DATE

OF.

IMS

DATE

EXETER ENVIRONMENTAL ASSOC., INC. P.O. Box 451 Exeter, New Hampshire 03833-0451 (603) 778-3988

		SCALE	
Sc	nil Sampli	ng Log - Trap Range	
T25-21A (0-6")	Time	Description debr-black, VFine silty smal	Targets Builds shot
-725-22A (06")	1000	ligan, Vfine silty send	Nime
TRS-23A (0-6")	950	br, v fire silty Soul	Nine
TES-24A (0-6")	945	ligray, VFine Sity sand	None
TES - 25A (0-6")	935	gray, vfile Silty Sal	None
TES - ZGA (D.6')	925	debr. vfm sithy sand	Nine
TES-27A(0-6)	910	dk br-black, vfine silty sad	None
-TRS-28A (0-6")	ØSD	every vfine silty same	None
TES-29A (0-6')	120	degray, v fire silty sol	Nore
TRS-30A (0-6)	1155	Grey, Vfine silty sand	Nore
TR25-314 (06")	1140	br, Vfire SIty Sand	None
TRES - 324 (0-6")	1110	grap, V fine silfy sand	Wore
TRS - 334 (0-6")	/ 630	gray, VAM Silly Sand	None
TES-344 (0-6")	1050	dkbr-blady. fin silf sard crol Fibrerz organic mattle	Noe

	HGC	
EXETER ENVIRONMENTAL ASSOC., INC.	SHEET NO	OF (
P.O. Box 451		
(603) 778-3988	CHECKED BY Sam C	DATE 317/16
		DATE
		, 11)
- Urpose: 10 Sample Soil across the	Cittle Kinel. (D=0-	6)
- Junny, 40's		
1rs - 38 - 0 - 6' - (16:00)	1 RS - 41 - 0.6 (15	-!20)
-wet	ue+	
Trs-39-0-6"/(15:50) -	TRS-42-0.6" / 13	-:10)
wet	wet	
Tr5-40-0-6" / 15:40)		
Let 1	rs-43-0.6" / 15	(105)
	aler	
- 100 Ghot or Flasmants in any sum	<u>r (e).</u>	
- Accessed area by crossing the dam	counciller from the site	- 1

JOB Varpton	Genaup
SHEET NO	OF \ \ \ \
CALCULATED BY	DATE 3-24-16

CHECKED BY___



JOB Marpton Gun Club

SHEET NO ... CALCULATED BY UMS

CHECKED BY_

OF_

_ DATE_

9-28-16 DATE_

			SCALE	
Sediment	Sarptin	1.00		
Arrited O Left Sife a	In-sife a	8:30	q.m Som Conter p.m.	e arrived on-site 210.00
Rain Gam	overcast, se = O	35 der	yees (E)	No metal fragments in any of the samples Photos taken a each
George C Member L Samolt	(Treasure avrence Dar TIME	r) On-si on-s DEDTH	е D 1:00 - 1:415 Пед 2:20 ТУРЕ	NOTES D
SED-3A	9:00	0-611	Sediment /Grab	Show flow in channel 12 flecks of cranzo
SED-10	9:29	0+ 5ED	-3A Sediment/Grab	SLOOP-Shellon M20 SLOUP FLOW in channel - hand Scoup (shellow M20)
5ED-5	10:16	0-6``	Sediment Grabs	No Flow, votten egg odor - poic wi spuor
SÉD-11	11:09	0-1011	Sediment/Grab	No K20 - Hand Scrop I plustic shell & Surface - rollen Egg odor
SED-4	1143	0-6"	Sedinant/Grab	Ven dy W voots no water - hand scoop.
SED-1A	1347	0-61	Sedinut /brab	Dry -no U-2 O hand Sussop
SED-6	1428	0-6'	Sediment/Grab	Dry - No 1/20 Mund Scoop

JOB_HG-C **EXETER ENVIRONMENTAL ASSOC., INC.** P.O. Box 451 Exeter, New Hampshire 03833-0451 CALCULATED BY CHECKED BY Sam C DATE 9/28/16 (603) 778-3988 On Site @ 10:00 - Left @ 2:50/3 Sec - 7 taken @ SW-7 location (pic) @ #545 9138 + water = slow moning, minor precip during sampling - rod extended >10' from river bank - No Shot frugs Sed-8-taken @ #20 - deviation fromplan! - New Beaver activity along bank suspected to have changed surface water flow doen-gradient from site - ~ 10:15' of H2O between bank & reeds to get to the location of SW-8-7 had to move South appx 80-100' is Channel - No shot frags Sed-13 - taken @ 12:40 from small bridge that spans channell fine silly sand & blue clay/sand. Could not go west of the little island I was an due to heavy standing No Shot Grays Water -- water shoes did not work octuen location west of proposed location - almost no flow Sed-12 - taken @ 13:00 from proposed bration - almost no flow in evaler - No shot frags Sed-9- Area being Flooded by beaver dam (14:07) sample mostly clay (blue/gray) No shot frags

JOB CC _____ OF___ **EXETER ENVIRONMENTAL ASSOC., INC.** SHEET NO. ____ P.O. Box 451 Exeter, New Hampshire 03833-0451 (603) 778-3988 CALCULATED BY DATE DATE DATE DATE Dure Corrego Site-12:15 SCALE ____ HGC - Sediment sampling - Day Z (Across Cittle River) Ly accessed by HGC plank bridges that span it ... (recently discovered) Analysis - Lead + pH Arrived on Site @ 10am / Left site @ 13:00 Takan from channel, very low flow in Channel. fine grained sandy silt, blueish gray /brown trace day. No shot Sed - 13 - P = 6 - 6" (10:55) Sed. 14 D=0-6" Taken From channel, dense ver in and round chanel' (11:20) Slav maring the No shot Taken neur channel, dense veg, 8 tanding water, no flow, brown fine grained silly Sec. 15 . D= 0.6" (11:46) sand, trace clay rock frags, NUShot.

JOB Mampton Gun Cl	ub
SHEET NO	OF
CALCULATED BY MS/SC	DATE 11-8-16
	DATE

			SCALE	
· · ·	Sufre	e Water	Samplino	2-Pry
Arrived a) 913 Weater - Su Rain Gauge pit meter C	5 AM My - 44° = O	295	Image: selection of the	11:40 Mender Mike arnveck to mala repairs Left jobsile 2:30 pm
SC m-sie	2 10:30			
Location SW-3	Time 9:27	рН 5.61	Photo	Notes low flow in channel
SW-3A -	Duplicate of	- SW-3	x	
SW-10	9:34	6.43		Iow Flas in Channel
FB-1	0.04	6.33		dissolved Pb
-785W-1	10 12_	3.04	V	1000 Flow in channel
PRSW-1	1039	4,31	~~~~	no visible Pin
(sc) Sw - 7	10:20	6,10		no visible Flow
PR-5W-3	10:59	5.86	V	no Hzo 2 state moved upstram 8' ft, collected
(sc) 5 w - 9	:15	6.27		WL = 4.93' (dug hole next to Stake)
Sw-2	11:28	6.31		Very low the level used syringe to called Sample WL= 2.891
Sw-1	1126	6.16	~~~	NO VISIBLE F1500 WL = 2.29'

JOB	Gun	unb			
			~		2
SHEET NO				OF	L

____ DATE_1-3-16

___ DATE ____

CALCULATED BY_____

CHECKED BY__

SCALE . Location se) SW-le SC) SW-8 Dares Photo ell TIM Novisible Plow 1155 6.21 Flow in channel beaver dam blitt at SW-B stake 6.15 1330

		JOB Ha	mpton 64	n Club
EXETER ENVIRON	MENTAL ASSOC., INC.	SHEET NO.	1	OF
P.O Exeter, New Ha	mpshire 03833-0451	CALCULATED	BY JMS	DATE 12-1-16
(603)) 778-3988	CHECKED BY	- <u></u>	DATE
		SCALE		
	Surt	ve Water ?	Sarpling -	Wet Weater
Arrived 2 goo			SC on	-site @ 910_
Pain Gauge =	44mm			
The 470			SC/JA	us left sir a 100
FILLER CONTRACT				
3 members in c	lup house			
Calibrated PU	moter			
Location	Time	ρΗ	Photo	Notes
<u> </u>	9-27			
~~~>		6.62	VVV	+10N / F-10D did
SW 3A	· 9:22			Deplicate of SW-3
FB-1	9:45	6.82		
56-10	9:57	6.52	/	Flow Rooded
PR-SW-1	10:15	5.77	V	VOSIBLE From
TR-SW-1	10:16	5.59		VISI be Gan
50-8	9:40	690		High flow-
51-9	10:00	7,09		High flow
pR.SW.3	1035	7.03		WL 4.55 - 1, ++ le flow
SW-2	1041	6.41		WL-2.58' - little flow
Suj-1	1052	5.69		WL-Z.16 NOE (m)
Scs-G	10:55	7.00		good + law
Sw - 7	11:30	6.56	V	gove flow

## **APPENDIX III**

## Soil Boring Logs and Well Construction Details

	EXETE ENVIR	R ONMENTA	P.O. Box 451, Exeter, NH 03833-045 tel: 603-778-398 C. www.exeterenvironmental.com	1 18 m	BORING: MW-1	
Project:	Hampton Ro	od and Gun Club		Sheet #:	1 of 1	
Location:	see site plar	า		Driller:	Technical Drilling Services	
Date:	March 7, 20	14		Geologist:	Julie Shope, Brian Campelia	
depth	sample number	blow counts	sample descriptions and classifications	well const.	remarks	PID (ppm)
uopin	S-1	3,2,2,2	N/A		No Recovery	(pp)
1	(0-2')					
2						
я					top of bentonite at 3'	
				1111 1111		
4					top of sand at 4'	
5	0.0	4-8-8-7	Denver first to some source of and source of the		top of screen at 5'	
6	5-2 (5-7')	R=20"	Brown, fine to coarse sand and gravel, some slit			
	(01)		()			
7						
8						
9						
10						
	S-3	12-18-22-7	Brown/orange-brown fine to coarse sand and fine gravel,			
11	(10-12')	R=8"	some silt, rock fragments			
12			(TILL)			
13						
14						
15					bottom of screen at 15'	
	S-4	23-32-25-22	brown fine to coarse sand, gravel, and rock fragments, little silt			
16	(15-17')	R=18"	changing to fine to coarse sand and gravel, trace silt			
			(TILL)			
17					bottom of boring at 17'	
18						
19						
			NOTEO			
20	1		NUTES: (1) boring advanced with track-mounted hollow-stem auger drill rig			
21			(2) well constructed of 2" PVC			
			(3) well completed with protective standpipe			
22						
25						
23						
24						
25	<b> </b>					
26						
26	I					L

Project:         Hampton Rod and Gun Club         Sheet #:         1 of 1           Location:         see site plan         Driller:         Technical Drilling Services           Date:         March 7, 2014.         Geologis:         Julie Shoe, Brian Campelia           dgph         number         blow counts         sample descriptions and classifications         well const         remarks           1         (0-2)         R=12'         3 inches of fibrous organic material changing to dark torow fire sand         well const         remarks           2	
Location:         see site plan         Driller:         Technical Drilling Services           Date:         March 7, 2014         Geologist:         Julie Shope, Brian Campelia           depth         number         blow counts         sample descriptions and classifications         well const.         remarks           2         2         3         inches of fibrous organic material changing to dark brown fine sand and silt, fine to coarse sand, trace rock fragments         well const.         remarks           3         2         2         1         1         top of bentonite at 3'           4         2         2         1         1         top of sand at 4'           5         2         2-5-6-6         1         1         top of sand at 4'           5         2         2-5-6-6         1         1         top of sand at 4'           5         2         2-5-6-6         1         1         top of sand at 4'           5         2         2-5-6-6         1         1         top of sand at 4'           6         (5-77)         R=12'         1         Dark gray fine to coarse sand and rock fragments, ittle sitt         top of sand at 4'           10         2         1         1         1         1         1	
Date:         March 7, 2014         Geologist:         Jule Shope, Brian Campelia           depth         ample         blow counts         sample descriptions and classifications         well cont.         remarks           3         3-6-77         3 inches of fibrous organic material changing to dark brown fine sand         well cont.         remarks           a	
depth     sample     box counts     sample descriptions and classifications     well const.     remarks       1     (0-2)     R=12'     3 inches of fibrous organic material changing to dark brown fine sand     Image: Const.     remarks       2     -     -     3     -     -       3     -     -     -     -       4     -     -     -     -       5     -     -     -     -       6     (5-7)     R=12'     -     -       7     -     -     -     -       8     -     -     -     -       9     -     -     -     -       10     -     -     -     -       11     (10-12)     R=6''     -     -       12     -     -     -     -       13     -     -     -     -       14     -     -     -     -       15     -     -     -     -       16     (15-17)     R=2''     -     -       17     -     -     -     -       18     -     -     -     -       19     -     -     -	
Optim         History         Outcomptone         Control           1         (0-2)         R=12'         3         Inches of fibrous organization data brights to dark brown fine sand and silt, fine to coarse sand, trace rock fragments         Image: Control of the sand and silt, fine to coarse sand, trace rock fragments           2	PID (ppm)
I         (0-2)         R=12*         and silt, fine to coarse sand, trace rock fragments           a            top of bentonite at 3'           a                a	(ppiii)
a            a            a            a            a            a            s            s            a            b            b            a            a            a            a            a            a            a            a            a            a            a            bottom of screen at 5'           Dark gray fine to coarse sand and rock fragments, trace silt           a            11            12            13            14            15            16             17             17 <td></td>	
a            s            4            5            6         (5-7)           7            8            9            10            11         (10-12)           12            13            14            15            14            15            16         (15-77)           17    Park gray fine to coarse sand and rock fragments, little silt (MARINE?)    Dark gray fine to coarse sand and rock fragments, trace silt	
a            a            a            a            s            s            s            b            b            c         S-2         2-5-6-6           a             7              a               9                10                  11	
a	
4          top of sand at 4'           5              6         (5-7)         R=12"             7                8                 9                 10                 12                 13                 14                 15               bottom of screen at 15'           14                   15	
s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s         s	
s         -           S         2-5-6-6 (5-7)         R=12"           7         -         -           8         -         -           9         -         -           10         -         -           10         -         -           11         (10-12)         R=6"           12         -         -           13         -         -           14         -         -           15         -         -           16         (15-17)         R=2"           17         -         -           17         -         -	
S-2         2-5-6-6           6         (5-7)         R=12"           7	
6         (5-7)         R=12"         (MARINE?)           7	
7	
7	
8	
9            10            10            10            10            11         (10-12)           12            13            14            15            16         (15-17)           17	
9            10            10            10            10            11         (10-12)           12            13            14            15            16         (15-17)           17	
10         S-3         5-4-4-3           11         (10-12)         R=6"           12         Dark gray fine to coarse sand and rock fragments, trace silt           13         Image: Coarse sand and rock fragments, trace silt           14         Image: Coarse sand and rock fragments, trace silt           15         Image: Coarse sand, trace silt, dark gray           16         (15-17)           17         Image: Coarse sand, trace silt, dark gray	
10	
S-3         5-4-4-3 R=6"         Dark gray fine to coarse sand and rock fragments, trace silt           11         (10-12')         R=6"           12	
11       (10-12')       H=6"         12	
12	
12	
13	
14         15         15         bottom of screen at 15'           15	
14	
15     Image: bottom of screen at 15'       15     S-4       16     (15-17')       17     R=2"   bottom of boring at 17'	
15     Set     5-7-7-7       16     (15-17')       17     R=2"   Fine to coarse sand, trace silt, dark gray bottom of screen at 15' bottom of boring at 17' bottom of boring at 1	
16     (15-17')     R=2"       17     Income to coarse sand, trace silt, dark gray	
16         (15-17)         11-2           17	
17 bottom of boring at 17'	
	1
18	
19	
20 NOTES	
(1) boring advanced with track-mounted hollow-stem auger drill rig	
21 (2) well constructed of 2" PVC	
(3) well completed with protective standpipe	
22	
23	
25	
26	1

	EXETE ENVIR	R ONMENTA	P.O. Box 451, Exeter, NH 03833-045' tel: 603-778-3986 c. www.exeterenvironmental.com	1 3 1		BOR	ING: MW-3	
Project:	Hampton Ro	od and Gun Club	)	Sheet #:		1 of 1		
Location:	see site plar	า		Driller:		Technical I	Drilling Services	
Date:	March 7, 20	14		Geologis	st:	Julie Shop	e, Brian Campelia	
denth	sample	blow counts	sample descriptions and classifications	well con	net		remarke	PID (nnm)
ucpin	S-1	2-2-3-3	1 inch of organic material followed by 3 inches of fine silt (gray), then		1111	•	Temarka	(ppiii)
1	(0-2')	R=18"	fine sands and silt, with lenses of clay					
			(MARINE)					
2								
						ton	of bostonito at 2	
3				1111		lop	or bentonite at 3	
4						te	op of sand at 4'	
5						to	p of screen at 5'	
	S-2	2-2-2-2	Gray, fine to medium sand with little sitl, changing to clay					
6	(5-7')	R=10"	(MARINE)					
7								
,								
8				1:::E				
9				l:::H				
10								
10	S-3	3-3-3-4	Grav clav with lenses of fine sand and silt					
11	(10-12')	R=24"	(MARINE)					
12								
				同日				
13								
14				E::H				
15				100		botto	om of screen at 15'	
	S-4	1-1-0-0	Gray clay changing to silt with some clay					
16	(15-17')	R=24"	(MARINE)					
17						botte	om of boring at 17'	
	1			<u></u>			<del></del>	
18								
19								
20			NOTES:					
20	1		(1) boring advanced with track-mounted hollow-stem auger drill rig					
21			(2) well constructed of 2" PVC					
			(3) well completed with protective standpipe					
22	<u> </u>							
23	1							
24								
25								
26								

	EXETE ENVIR	R ONMENTA	P.O. Box 451, Exeter, NH 03833-045' tel: 603-778-3986 C. www.exeterenvironmental.com	1 3 1		В	ORING: MW-4	
Project:	Hampton Ro	od and Gun Club	)	Sheet #:		1 of	1	
Location:	see site plar	ı		Driller:		Tech	nical Drilling Services	
Date:	March 7, 20	14		Geologist	t:	Julie	Shope, Brian Campelia	
denth	sample	blow counts	sample descriptions and classifications	well con-	et		remarks	PID (ppm)
depin	S-1	2-3-4-3	Dark brown silt changing to gray and brown fine sand with trace silt,		1111	V	Temarka	(ppin)
1	(0-2')	R=24"	changing to gray clay				-	
			(MARINE)					
2								
							top of bontopite of Ol	
3					iii		top of bentonite at 3	
4							top of sand at 4'	
5							top of screen at 5'	
	S-2	2-4-4-3	Dark gray fine to coarse sand, some clay, little silt	₿₿₿				
6	(5-7')	R=20"	changing to fine sand with some silt, changing to gray clay					
-			(MARINE)	:::: <b> </b>				
/				100				
8								
				1:::E				
9								
10	0.0	2-1-2-1	Dark grow site alow	1:::E				
1.1	5-3 (10-12')	R=24"	MABINE)	₿₿₿				
	(10 12)							
12				目目				
				目目				
13								
				::: <b>:</b>				
14				1993				
15							bottom of screen at 15'	
	S-4	8-5-7-18	Dark gray silty clay, rock fragments					
16	(15-17')	R=8"	(TILL ~16')					
17							bottom of boring at 17'	_
10								
18								
19								
20			NOTES:					
			(1) boring advanced with track-mounted hollow-stem auger drill rig					
21			(2) well constructed of 2" PVC					
22			(3) wen completed with protective standpipe					
23								
24								
25								
26								
	•							•

		R DNMENTA	P.O. Box 451, Exeter, NH 03833-045 tel: 603-778-3988 c. www.exeterenvironmental.com	1 3 n	BORING: SGW-1		
Project:	Hampton G	un Club		Sheet #:	1 of 1		
Location:	see site plar	ı		Driller:	Driller: Technical Drilling Services		
Date:	July 14, 201	4		Engineer:	Julie Shope		
depth	sample number	blow counts	sample descriptions and classifications	well const.	remarks	DI9 (ppm)	
					native fill		
1							
					top of bentonite at 1'		
	S-1		gray, fine SAND, trace silt, change to mottled gray and orange,		top of sand at 2'		
з	2-5'	R=3'	silty clay, change to gray silty clay, moist		water at 2.5' upon completion		
					top of screen at 3'		
4	-						
5							
	S-2		mottled gray and orange CLAY and gray clay with lenses of fine				
6	5-10'	R=5'	sand, trace silt, moist				
7							
8							
9							
10					bottom of boring at 10'		
11							
12							
13							
15							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20			NOTES				
			(1) boring advanced with track-mounted geoprobe drill rig				
21			(2) well constructed of 2" PVC				
22			(3) weil completed with flush mounted road box				
23							
24							
25							
25							
26							

		R ONMENTA	P.O. Box 451, Exeter, NH 03833-0451 tel: 603-778-3988 c. www.exeterenvironmental.com	3	BORING: SGW-2		
Project:	Hampton G	un Club		Sheet #:	1 of 1		
Location:	see site plar	ı		Driller:	Technical Drilling Services		
Date:	July 14, 201	4		Engineer:	Engineer: Julie Shope		
depth	sample number	blow counts	sample descriptions and classifications	well const.	remarks	PID (ppm)	
					native fill	(PP)	
1					top of bentonite at 1'		
2							
3	S-1 2-5'	R=3'	light brown, fine SAND change to mottled orange and gray, silty clay, moist		top of sand at 2'		
4					top of screen at 3'		
5 6 7	S-2 5-10'	R=5'	mottled orange and gray, silty CLAY change to gray fine sand and silt change to gray, clay change to brown, fine sand, trace silt, moist		water at 7' upon completion		
8							
9							
10					bottom of boring at 10'		
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20			NOTES:				
21			(1) boring advanced with track-mounted geoprobe drill rig (2) well constructed of 2" PVC				
22			(3) well completed with flush mounted road box				
23							
24							
25							
26							

		R DNMENTA TATES, IN	P.O. Box 451, Exeter, NH 03833-0451 tel: 603-778-3988 www.exeterenvironmental.com	3	BORING: SGW-3	
Project:	Hampton G	ın Club		Sheet #:	1 of 1	
Location:	see site plar	1		Driller:		
Date:	July 14, 201	4		Engineer:	Julie Shope	
depth	sample number	blow counts	sample descriptions and classifications	well const.	remarks	PID (ppm)
doptin	number	bion counto			native fill	(ppm)
1						
2					top of bentonite at 1'	
	S-1		brown, fine SAND, trace silt, change to gray, fine silty sand		top of sand at 2'	
3	2-5'	R=3'	change to gray, clay, moist		top of screen at 3'	
4					top of screen at 5	
5	S-2		arey CLAV change to arey fine silty send in bottom 6"			
6	5-10'	R=5'	of sleeve, moist			
7					water at 7.51 upon completion	
8						
9						
10					bottom of boirng at 10'	
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20			NOTES:			
			(1) boring advanced with track-mounted geoprobe drill rig			
21			(2) well constructed of 2" PVC			
22			(3) weil completed with liush mounted road box			
23						
24						
25						
26						

	EXETE	R DNMENTA	P.O. Box 451, Exeter, NH 03833-0451 tel: 603-778-3988 www.exeterenvironmental.com		BORING: SGW-4	
Project:	Hampton G	ın Club		Sheet #:	1 of 1	
Location:	see site plar	ı		Driller:	Technical Drilling Services	
Date:	July 14, 201	4		Engineer:	Julie Shope	
donth	sample	blow counto	comple descriptions and electrifications	well const	romorko	PID
depth	number	blow counts	sample descriptions and classifications	well const.	native fill	(ppm)
1						
-					top of bentonite at 1'	
2						
	S-1		brown, fine SAND, trace silt, change to mottled orange		top of sand at 2'	
3	2-5'	R=3'	and gray, silty clay change to brown, fine silty sand,	∷:: <b> </b> _:::		
			trace coarse sand and fine gravel, moist	::: <b> </b>  :::	top of screen at 3'	
4						
_						
5	S-2		grav CLAY moist			
6	5-10'	R=5'	9.09, 02.0, 000		well dry upon completion	
					·····	
7						
8						
9						
10					bottom of boring at 10'	-
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
17						
18						
19						
20			NOTES:			
			(1) boring advanced with track-mounted geoprobe drill rig			
21			(2) well constructed of 2" PVC			
20			(3) well completed with flush mounted foad box			
23						
24						
25						
26						