

Meeting Minutes Work Session North Hampton Planning Board Tuesday, October 16, 2018 at 6:30pm Town Hall, 231 Atlantic Avenue

- 7 These minutes were prepared as a reasonable summary of the essential content of this meeting, not as a8 transcription.
- 9

10 In attendance: Tim Harned, Chair; Nancy Monaghan, Vice Chair; Members Phil Wilson, Wally Kilgore,

- and Jim Maggiore, Select Board Representative; Jennifer Rowden, RPC Circuit Rider; and Rick Milner,
- Recording Secretary.
- 14 Vice Chair Monaghan called the meeting to order at 6:30pm.
- 15

16 I. Old Business

- 17 1. Review of proposed 2018-19 Zoning Ordinance amendments Accessory Structures.
- 18 Mr. Harned presented proposed language for a new Accessory Structures section of the zoning
- 19 ordinance and revisions to the Agriculture Accessory Structures section of the zoning ordinance. The
- 20 intent of the proposed language is to create a definition for accessory structures and establish
- 21 permitting regulations for the construction of accessory structures within all zoning districts of the Town
- 22 of North Hampton.
- 23
- 24 The proposed language, revised per Board comments at the September 18 work session, included
- various setback distances based on the size of the proposed accessory structure and the size of the lot.
- 26 The proposed language also included a conditional use permit process for proposed accessory structures
- 27 that do not meet the zoning ordinance standards.
- 28
- 20 The Beard came to a concencus without objection that the size of a games as noted in the 1
- The Board came to a consensus without objection that the size of a garage, as noted in the accessory
- structures definition, and the size of an accessory structure on lots of less than two acres would not
 exceed 1,008 square feet in size.
- 32
- 33 Mr. Harned suggested that, since the proposed language stated that an accessory structure shall be 34 sited in the rear of the property unless attached to a principal structure, the Board discuss the creation
- 35 of a definition for rear of the property. Mr. Harned suggested that the rear half of the property away
- 36 from the roadway along the front of the property be considered the rear of the property.
- 37
- 38 Mr. Wilson stated that the purpose for the proposed placement of accessory structures in the rear of a
 - 39 property is to ensure that the residential character of neighborhoods is not compromised by the
 - 40 placement of accessory structures near the front of properties.
 - 41
 - 42 The Board discussed parameters for a definition of the rear of a property.

43 Mr. Harned noted that, on lots of less than two acres, the first instance of garages no greater than 1008 44 square feet in size will conform to a different setback requirement than other types of accessory 45 structures. 46 47 Mr. Harned noted that any currently conforming accessory structure that became non-conforming as a 48 result of adoption of the proposed language would be legal unless the property owner proposed to 49 change the use of the accessory structure or add an addition to the accessory structure within the newly 50 adopted setback requirement. 51 52 Mr. Harned stated that he would revise the draft language based on the Board's discussion of rear of a 53 property definition and other comments. 54 55 2. Review of proposed 2018-19 Zoning Ordinance amendments – Lot Coverage. 56 Ms. Rowden presented the following proposed definition for lot coverage: 57 "Upland areas of a lot that include buildings, parking areas, vehicular drives, pavement, and other man-58 made structures or surfaces that are impervious to water." 59 60 Ms. Rowden presented existing parcel data for actual lots in North Hampton (not including lots in the 61 Little Boar's Head District) which indicated the percent of impervious surface currently existing on the 62 upland area (not including wetlands or surface water areas) of the lots. Based on this data, Ms. Rowden 63 proposed maximum lot coverage of 25% in the R-1 and R-2 zoning districts and 75% in the I-B/R zoning 64 district. 65 66 Ms. Rowden also presented detailed data indicating the number of parcels which currently have an 67 amount of impervious surface within their upland areas which exceeds the proposed maximum lot 68 coverage amounts. Ms. Rowden also presented data and regulations from other area towns regarding 69 maximum lot coverage figures. 70 71 Mr. Harned stated that he is generally in favor of the proposed ordinance. However, he suggested that a 72 tiered approach, based on different standards for different lot sizes, is developed for the Board's 73 consideration. 74 75 Mr. Wilson suggested that the important figure to consider may be the amount of upland on a particular 76 lot, not necessarily the lot size. 77 78 Mr. Harned suggested that a definition for 'impervious surface' or 'impervious' be created for the Board's consideration. 79 80 81 Ms. Rowden stated that she would revise the draft language based on the Board's comments. 82 83 3. Discussion of proposed Site Plan Review Regulations – Minor Site Plan. 84 Ms. Rowden presented proposed language revisions to the Site Plan Regulations with the intent to 85 specify the criteria for different levels of review for proposals to develop, expand, or change a non-86 residential or a multi-family dwelling site. Currently, minor changes must use the same site plan review 87 process that major projects use with several possible waiver requests to the regulations. Goals of the 88 proposed revisions are to improve upon the approval process for minor changes to a site and provide a 89 more cost effective process for applicants to obtain approval for these minor changes. The proposed

Disclaimer – these minutes are prepared by the Recording Secretary within five (5) business days as required by NH RSA 91A:2,II. They will not be finalized until approved by majority vote of the Planning Board.

- 90 language revisions create criteria for different levels of review based on the level of impact that a
- 91 proposed change may have on a site. The criteria would distinguish what type of project would only
- 92 require a Building Department permit and what project would require Planning Board approval. In
- addition, for projects that require Planning Board approval, the proposed scope of review necessary for
- 94 each type of project have been categorized as:
- 95 a. No Review Required
- 96 b. Minor Review
- 97 c. Major Review
- 98

99 Mr. Wilson suggested that sites must already have an approved site plan in order to be eligible for no100 review or minor review.

101

102 The Board came to a consensus without objection to table the matter until the Board members have 103 studied the proposed language further.

104

105 III. Other Business

- 106 1. Review of 2019 Planning Board meeting schedule.
- 107 The Board came to a consensus without objection to maintain during 2019 the regular meeting schedule
- 108 of the first Tuesday of the month for public hearings and the third Tuesday of the month for work
- sessions. Since the first Tuesday of January is January 1, New Year's Day, the Board came to a consensus
- 110 without objection to re-schedule the first meeting date in January to January 8.
- 111
- 112 2. Committee Updates.
- a. Long Range Planning (LRP) Mr. Maggiore reported that the committee is preparing to make a
- 114 presentation to the full Board regarding the Master Plan Vision Statement chapter at the November 115 work session.
- 115 WORK Session.
- 116 b. Application Review Committee (ARC) No report.
- 117 c. Rules and Regulations/Procedures No report.
- 118 d. Capital Improvement Plan Committee (CIP) No report.
- e. Economic Development Committee (EDC) Mr. Wilson reported that the committee has been
- 120 working on a vision statement for economic development in North Hampton.
- 121 f. Select Board Mr. Maggiore reported that the Select Board has approved the FY2020 operating
- budget which includes monies to fund the Planning Board's future Master Plan work. The operating
- 123 budget has been sent to the Budget Committee for review.
- 124 g. RPC Circuit Rider Ms. Rowden reminded the Board of the upcoming Rockingham Planning
- 125 Commission Legislative Forum.
- 126 h. Planning and Zoning Administrator Mr. Milner informed the Board about upcoming applications
- 127 scheduled to be considered at November and December meetings.
- 128
- 129 3. Minutes.
- 130 Ms. Monaghan presented the minutes of the October 2, 2018 Planning Board meeting.
- 131 Mr. Wilson moved that the Planning Board accept the minutes of the October 2, 2018 Planning Board
- 132 meeting as written. Second by Mr. Kilgore. The vote was 4-0-1 in favor of the motion with Mr. Harned
- 133 abstaining.
- 134
- 135
- 136

- 137 4. Correspondence.
- 138 Mr. Harned informed the Board of the public meeting at the North Hampton School on October 18 to
- discuss the rehabilitation of the US Route 1 Bridge over the Pan Am Railway Corridor and improve the
- 140 intersections of North Road with US Route 1 proposed by the New Hampshire Department of
- 141 Transportation.
- 142
- 143 The meeting was adjourned at 8:20pm without objection.
- 144
- 145 Respectfully submitted,
- 146
- 147
- 148
- 149 Rick Milner
- 150 Recording Secretary
- 151
- 152
- 153
- 154
- 155
- 156
- 157
- 158