

Meeting Minutes North Hampton Planning Board Tuesday, November 7, 2023 at 6:30pm Town Hall, 231 Atlantic Avenue

These minutes were prepared as a reasonable summary of the essential content of this meeting, not as a transcription.
In attendance: Phil Wilson, Chair (electronic connection); Rob Omberg, Vice Chair; Members Nancy Monaghan, Shep Kroner, Valerie Gamache, and Jim Maggiore, Select Board Representative; Alternate Members Dan Derby and Tom McManuer, Jonnifer Powden, BPC Circuit Bidge (electronic connection);
Members Dan Derby and Tom McManus; Jennifer Rowden, RPC Circuit Rider (electronic connection); and Rick Milner, Recording Secretary.
Vice Chair Omberg called the meeting to order at 6:30pm.
Mr. Kroner moved that the Planning Board allow Mr. Wilson to participate in the meeting by electronic connection. Second by Ms. Monaghan. The roll call vote was unanimous in favor of the motion (5-0).
Mr. Kroner moved that the Planning Board seat Mr. McManus for Mr. Sillay. Second by Ms. Gamache. The roll call vote was unanimous in favor of the motion (6-0).
I. Continued Business
1. Case #21:28 – Applicant: Veronica Pillard, 15A Bonair Avenue, Hampton, NH 03842. The Applicant
requests a one year extension of Conditional Use Permit approval to allow placement of a food truck on
the site. Property Owner: Black Marble Realty Trust, John McGonagle, Trustee, P.O. Box 1740, North
Hampton, NH 03862. Property Location: 17 Lafayette Road, North Hampton, NH 03862; M/L: 003-086-
000; Zoning District: I-B/R, Industrial – Business/Residential District.
In attendance for this application:
Veronica Pillard, applicant.
Ms. Pillard addressed the Board. Ms. Pillard explained that she received two previous one year
approvals to allow placement of the food truck business on the 17 Lafayette Road property. Ms. Pillard
requested an approval to allow placement of the food truck business on the 17 Lafayette Road site for
an additional one year. Ms. Pillard presented the following information: a. site drawing of 17 Lafayette Road property showing location of 27 foot by 7 ½ foot food truck moved
to the back of the parking lot at the Seacoast Harley-Davidson retail business,
b. site drawing also showed two tables with chairs placed next to the food truck and the entire food
truck and table area roped off from the rest of the parking area,
c. hours of operation – Thursday through Sunday, 8:00am to 5:00pm.
Ms. Monaghan moved that the Planning Board find that the application is complete and take
jurisdiction of the Conditional Use Permit application associated with Case #21:28 to allow placement
of a food truck at 17 Lafayette Road for an additional one year period. Second by Ms. Gamache. The
roll call vote was unanimous in favor of the motion (7-0).

48 49	Mr. McManus asked if the Town had received any complaints regarding the food truck business.
50 51	Mr. Milner stated that the Town had not received any complaints regarding the food truck business.
52 53 54	Mr. Omberg opened the public hearing at 6:37pm. No comments were made. Mr. Omberg closed the public hearing at 6:38pm.
55 56 57 58	Mr. Wilson suggested that, if the intention was to keep the food truck business on the property for multiple years going forward, the property owner should seek approval of an amended site plan instead of the applicant requesting a conditional use permit approval every year.
59 60 61	The Board also discussed considering the possibility of creating zoning ordinance regulations which may eliminate the need for a food truck business to seek Planning Board approval every year.
62 63 64 65 66 67	 Mr. Maggiore moved that the Planning Board approve extension of the Case #21:28 Conditional Use Permit allowing placement of a temporary structure (food truck) on the 17 Lafayette Road property for an additional one year period subject to the following conditions: 1. The food truck shall only be in operation until November 7, 2024. 2. The food truck shall be removed from the property no later than November 7, 2024. 3. Daily hours of operation shall be 8:00am to 5:00pm.
68 69	4. No signage is allowed except 'Open' flag. Second by Mr. Kroner. The roll call vote was unanimous in favor of the motion (7-0).
70	
71	II. New Business
72 73 74 75 76 77	 Case #23:23 – Applicant: Richard Harvey, Harvey Signs, Inc., 30 Osgood Street, Methuen, MA 01844. The Applicant requests a Conditional Use Permit for signage associated with automobile sales business. Property Owner: DMO North Hampton Realty, LLC, 10 Al Paul Lane, Suite 102, Merrimack, NH 03054. Property Location: 137 Lafayette Road, North Hampton, NH 03862; M/L: 017-041-001; Zoning District: I-B/R, Industrial – Business/Residential District.
78	In attendance for this application:
79 80	Richard and Andrew Harvey, applicants.
80 81 82 83	Mr. Omberg stated that this case is being moved up in the agenda due to the anticipated lengthy discussion associated with Case #23:20.
84 85 86 87 88	Andrew Harvey addressed the Board. Mr. Harvey presented a sign application which included color depictions and locations for three non-illuminated wall signs to be placed on the car dealership building and a non-illuminated, two-sided, roadside monument sign at 137 Lafayette Road with the following sign dimensions: a. 'Kia' logo wall sign approximately 3 feet high by 12.75 feet wide
89	b. 'Enxing' dealership name wall sign approximately 1.5 feet high by 7.75 feet wide
90	c. 'Service' location indicator wall sign approximately 1 foot high by 4.5 feet wide
91 92	d. 'Kia' logo monument sign approximately 5 feet high, 5.5 feet wide, and 1.75 feet deep
93	

95 96	Mr. Harvey stated that the signs would have a black and silver color scheme.
97 98 99 100 101	Ms. Monaghan moved that the Planning Board find that the application is complete and take jurisdiction of the Case #23:23 Conditional Use Permit application for signage associated with the automobile sales business at 137 Lafayette Road. Second by Mr. Maggiore. The roll call vote was unanimous in favor of the motion (7-0).
102 103 104	Mr. Omberg opened the public hearing at 6:44pm. No comments were made. Mr. Omberg closed the public hearing at 6:45pm.
105 106 107	Ms. Monaghan asked Mr. Harvey to confirm that the proposed signs would use no lighting and not be serviced by electricity.
107 108 109	Mr. Harvey confirmed that the proposed signs would use no lighting and not be serviced by electricity.
110 111	Ms. Monaghan stated that the sign specification sheets mentioned electrical connections in the notes.
112 113 114	Mr. Milner stated that the notes associated with the electrical connections will be removed from the approved application on file with the Town.
115 116 117 118 119 120	Ms. Monaghan moved that the Planning Board approve the Case #23:23 Conditional Use Permit for wall and monument signage to be installed at the Enxing Kia business at 137 Lafayette Road as represented in the application presented to the Board subject to the condition that all references to electrical service being provided to the signage are removed from the application materials. Second by Ms. Gamache. The roll call vote was unanimous in favor of the motion (7-0).
120 121 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 129 130 131	III. Continued Business 1. Case #23:20 – Applicant: Vertex Towers, LLC c/o Francis Parisi, 225 Dyer Street, Providence, RI 02903. The Applicant requests a Site Plan Review for construction of a wireless telecommunications facility/cell tower with associated driveway and utility improvements. The Applicant also requests a Conditional Use Permit to allow construction of a wireless telecommunications facility/cell tower with associated driveway and utility improvements within the Wetlands Conservation District. Property Owners: Richard E. Skowronski and Leila A. Hanna, 142 Mill Road, North Hampton, NH 03862. Property Locations: Back lots off Mill Road in the vicinity of 142 Mill Road and accessed from driveway between 140 and 144 Mill Road; M/L: 012-065-000, 012-067-000, and 012-072-000; Zoning Districts: R-1 High Density District and R-2 Medium Density District.
132 133 134	In attendance for this application: Francis Parisi, representative for the applicant; Tom Johnson civil engineer.
135 136 137 138	Mr. Parisi addressed the Board. Mr. Parisi acknowledged that the Planning Board held a consultation session with Town Counsel and a wireless technology professional to discuss legal and technical aspects of the application. Mr. Parisi also acknowledged that the applicant has not yet completed stormwater management plans and calculations information required by the site plan regulations.
139 140 141	Ms. Monaghan asked Mr. Parisi if the applicant intended to submit the required stormwater management information.

142 Mr. Parisi stated that the applicant intends to submit a stormwater management plan. However, the 143 applicant has submitted a waiver request to allow the applicant not to create the detailed stormwater 144 calculation information required by the site plan regulations. 145 146 Ms. Rowden stated that the detailed calculations are an integral part of the stormwater management 147 plan. A stormwater management plan is not valid if it does not have supporting calculation information. 148 149 Ms. Monaghan suggested that submittal of a stormwater management plan and supporting calculations 150 could be a conditional of approval. 151 152 Mr. Kroner stated that there was a small impervious surface impact associated with this proposal. 153 154 Mr. Parisi stated his opinion that activities associated with efficient stormwater management, such as 155 tree clearing, could be detrimental to other goals of the project, such as maintaining a visual barrier and 156 vegetative buffer around the cell tower facility. There is not a great need for a detailed stormwater 157 management plan due to the remote location of the cell tower facility. Stormwater will not run off onto 158 lots owned by neighbors or Town roads. 159 160 Ms. Rowden stated her opinion that activities associated with efficient stormwater management will not 161 be extensive and will create a minimal impact on the surrounding area. Submittal of a stormwater 162 management plan and supporting calculation information is a reasonable condition of approval. 163 164 Ms. Monaghan stated her opinion that it was prudent for the Board to follow the advice of the Town 165 Engineer and the Circuit Rider Planner and require submittal of a stormwater management plan and 166 supporting calculations. Ms. Monaghan asked Mr. Parisi if he was willing to withdraw the applicant's 167 waiver request. 168 169 Mr. Parisi stated that he is withdrawing the waiver request associated with the required submittal of a 170 stormwater management plan and supporting detailed calculations. 171 172 Mr. Wilson stated that there is a very long access driveway with more than one wetlands crossing in 173 addition to the wireless facility equipment pad which must be considered within the stormwater 174 management plan and calculations. 175 176 Mr. Omberg explained that the Planning Board engaged the services of David Maxson, a wireless technology professional, to provide the Board with an analysis of the technical aspects of the proposed 177 178 wireless telecommunications facility including, but not limited to, service coverage area, health impacts, 179 and federal telecommunications regulations. 180 181 Mr. Maxson addressed the Board. Mr. Maxson stated his opinion that the applicant's proposal was better 182 than previous wireless telecommunications facility/cell tower proposals presented over several years in 183 North Hampton with regards to visual impacts, environmental impacts, and intended coverage area. 184 There is an urgent need for wireless telecommunications service in the eastern section of North 185 Hampton. The proposed cell tower will provide significant coverage in a coverage gap area and will be 186 complimentary to other proposed cell towers in neighboring towns. 187

188 Mr. Maxson also stated his opinion that the applicant's proposed cell tower location was centrally

- 189 located within the coverage gap area on high ground and well separated from residential uses. Mr.
- 190 Maxson suggested that any approval for the proposed project include a condition which requires that a
- 191 wireless service provider be contracted to provide service from the proposed cell tower prior to the
- 192 construction of the cell tower.
- 193

194 Mr. Maxson also stated his opinion that raising the height of the cell tower from the proposed 150 feet 195 to 180 feet would not provide better service coverage by filling in additional areas of the coverage gap 196 area. Mr. Maxson further stated that the Board was prohibited from rejecting the applicant's proposal 197 for health risks associated with wireless service emissions if the applicant's proposal conformed with the 198 emission standards of the federal Telecommunication Act of 1996. Mr. Maxson explained his background 199 as a long-standing member of a committee which develops and monitors wireless telecommunications 200 emissions safety standards. Mr. Maxson further explained that current emission standards are similar to 201 those established by the Telecommunication Act of 1996. There is no health risk associated with cell 202 tower emissions for people on the ground in the neighborhoods surrounding the cell tower at its 203 proposed location and proposed 150 foot height. In his opinion, the applicant's proposed wireless

- telecommunications facility will be compliant with the federal standards by a large margin.
- 205
- Ms. Monaghan asked for clarification regarding potential service coverage in the Ocean Boulevard andbeach area.
- 208

Mr. Maxson explained the service coverage expectations in the Ocean Boulevard and beach area. Cell
 phones will most likely work for phone calls with some minor variations. There will be a substantial signal

- footprint around the Mill Road area and east to the coast.
- 212

Ms. Monaghan asked for clarification regarding whether the applicant's proposal meets the Town's need
 for better wireless telecommunications service coverage.

215

216 Mr. Maxson explained that there were not any other available locations in North Hampton which would 217 provide the combination of enhanced wireless service coverage, less visual impacts, and less

- environmental impacts in his opinion.
- 220 Mr. Omberg asked Mr. Maxson to confirm that a cell tower taller than the 150 foot proposed cell tower 221 would not materially increase wireless service coverage in the area and that the proposed cell tower
- would be in conformance to federal safety regulations.
- 223

Mr. Maxson confirmed that a cell tower taller than the 150 foot proposed cell tower would not
 materially increase wireless service coverage in the area and that the proposed cell tower would be in
 conformance to federal safety regulations.

227

230

Mr. Omberg stated that Town Counsel has advised the Planning Board that the Planning Board has nolegal basis for rejecting the application for health and safety concerns.

- 231 Mr. Maggiore asked if the Town would be allowed to co-locate municipal equipment associated with
- emergency services communications on the proposed cell tower.
- 233

234 Mr. Parisi stated that the Town would be allowed to co-locate municipal equipment associated with 235 emergency services communications on the proposed cell tower within reasonable limits. Municipal use 236 agreements are common with this type of project. 237 238 Mr. Omberg opened the public comment session at 7:23pm. 239 240 Boutilier Lane resident Frank Arcidiacono addressed the Board. Mr. Arcidiacono stated his opinion that 241 the Planning Board adhere to federal regulations allowing 150 days to make a decision regarding the 242 application and take additional time to consider the application, its implications, and alternative wireless 243 service coverage options. 244 245 Mr. Milner stated that he received legal opinions from the New Hampshire Municipal Association and 246 Town Counsel which advised the Planning Board that it must adhere to the NH RSA 65 day time limit for 247 making a decision regarding a site plan application. The Board would need to make a decision at this 248 meeting to comply with State of New Hampshire law. 249 250 Mr. Arcidiacono stated that there are alternative sites on the lots adjoining the proposed cell tower lot 251 which are under the same ownership and could be used to construct a cell tower that would be closer to 252 the coverage gap area and further away from Boutilier Lane residents. Mr. Arcidiacono presented 253 coverage maps which, in his opinion, supported a reasonable alternative location for construction of a 254 cell tower that would satisfy the Town's wireless service needs. 255 256 Mr. Wilson stated that the Board's consideration of the application is governed by the laws of the State 257 of New Hampshire. The Board is not in a position to consider alternative locations. The Board can only 258 consider the information presented as it relates to the applicant's proposal. The only way for the Board 259 to consider an alternate cell tower location is to deny the current application and consider an alternate 260 site application when it is appropriately submitted to the Board. However, in his opinion, there is no 261 reason to deny the current application. 262 263 Boutilier Lane resident Paul Stinson addressed the Board. Mr. Stinson stated his opinion that the 264 application is not complete due to the lack of a stormwater management plan. The Board should require 265 submittal and review of the stormwater management plan prior to making a decision. It is important to 266 understand the stormwater drainage implications associated with the proposed driveway in addition to 267 the cell tower construction area. 268 269 River Road resident Jim Avalon addressed the Board. Mr. Avalon stated that the Board should wait to see 270 if the proposed cell tower in Hampton is approved before making a decision on the North Hampton 271 application in order to completely understand the area that may or may not be covered by wireless 272 telecommunications service. 273 274 Mill Road resident Don McEvoy addressed the Board. Mr. McEvoy stated the following: 275 a. A smaller cell tower at 120 feet, rather than the proposed 150 feet, would provide the same amount 276 of wireless service coverage and create less of a fall zone issue. b. There are other types of wireless service technology which can fill in coverage gaps in smaller 277 278 increments and be less intrusive than a larger cell tower. He suggested that the Board consider these 279 wireless service alternatives.

280 c. The Board should consider whether the amount of wireless service coverage gained by the proposal 281 outweighs the environmental impact on the wetlands created by the proposed construction. 282 d. The Town should require co-location of municipal communication equipment on the proposed cell 283 tower if the application is approved. 284 285 Mr. Omberg closed the public comment session at 7:52pm. 286 287 Mr. Parisi stated the following in response to the public comments: 288 a. He has been personally involved in finding a wireless telecommunications facility to provide service to 289 the eastern portion of North Hampton for several years. There are issues with every site that is 290 considered. The site proposed by the applicant is the best available site. Additionally, federal law 291 prohibits endless searching for the best site with the least amount of issues. 292 b. The applicant did review the alternative site proposed by Mr. Arcidiacono. However, the site would 293 move any potential impacts from Boutilier Lane residents to Mill Road residents. The alternative site 294 could potentially place more impact on a larger number of people. 295 296 Mr. Kroner asked if the wireless service coverage gap in the eastern section of North Hampton is 297 satisfactorily covered by the applicant's proposal. 298 299 Mr. Maxson stated that the wireless service coverage gap in the eastern section of North Hampton is 300 more than satisfactorily covered by the applicant's proposal. It is very well covered. 301 302 Mr. Omberg suggested that the Board consider the waivers needed for the application. 303 Mr. Milner read the criteria for granting a waiver from the Town of North Hampton Zoning Ordinance 304 Section 605 Wireless Telecommunications Facilities. 305 306 Mr. Maggiore moved that the Planning Board grant a waiver to the requirements of Town of North 307 Hampton Zoning Ordinance Section 605.3 - Wireless Telecommunications Facilities District and Map to 308 locate the proposed wireless telecommunication facility and cell tower outside the wireless 309 telecommunications facilities district as represented in the application and plans submitted to the 310 Planning Board based upon the finding that the application meets all relevant criteria for granting a 311 waiver as indicated in Town of North Hampton Zoning Ordinance Section 605. Second by Mr. 312 McManus. 313 Mr. Omberg opened the public comment session at 8:03pm. No comments were made. Mr. Omberg 314 closed the public comment session at 8:04pm. 315 The roll call vote was unanimous in favor of the motion (7-0). 316 317 Mr. Maggiore moved that the Planning Board grant a waiver to the requirements of Town of North 318 Hampton Zoning Ordinance Section 605.7.B.6.b – Information Required to allow submission of the 319 required National Environmental Policy Act evaluation report, and, if necessary, an Environmental 320 Assessment or Environmental Impact Statement, prior to final approval of the wireless 321 telecommunication facility and cell tower application rather than as part of the wireless 322 telecommunication facility and cell tower application submittal considered by the Planning Board 323 based upon the finding that the application meets all relevant criteria for granting a waiver as 324 indicated in Town of North Hampton Zoning Ordinance Section 605. Second by Mr. Kroner. 325 Mr. Omberg opened the public comment session at 8:08pm. No comments were made. Mr. Omberg 326 closed the public comment session at 8:09pm.

- 327 The roll call vote was unanimous in favor of the motion (7-0).
- 328
- 329 Mr. Maggiore moved that the Planning Board grant a waiver to the requirements of Town of North
- 330 Hampton Zoning Ordinance Section 605.6.B Setbacks and Separation for the wireless
- telecommunication facility and cell tower as represented in the application and plans submitted to the
- Planning Board, based upon the finding that the application meets all relevant criteria for granting a
- waiver as indicated in Town of North Hampton Zoning Ordinance Section 605 subject to the following
 condition:
- 335 The applicant shall submit recordable easement documents for all applicable lots (012-067-000,
- 336 012-070-000, 012-072-000, and 012-074-000) which ensure that no occupied structures shall be built
- or placed on any lot within 193 feet (tower height plus 25%) of the cell tower location.
- 338 Second by Ms. Monaghan.
- 339 Mr. Omberg opened the public comment session at 8:15pm. No comments were made. Mr. Omberg
- 340 closed the public comment session at 8:16pm.
- 341 The roll call vote was unanimous in favor of the motion (7-0).
- 342
- 343 Mr. Milner read the criteria for granting a waiver from the Town of North Hampton Site Plan Regulations. 344
- 345 Mr. Maggiore moved that the Planning Board grant a waiver to the requirements of Town of North
- 346 Hampton Site Plan Regulations Section VIII.B.3 Plan Format and Information Required to allow the
- 347 plan format as represented in the application and plans submitted to the Planning Board in a scale not
- in conformance with the regulations based upon the finding that the application meets all relevant
- 349 criteria for granting a waiver as indicated in Town of North Hampton Site Plan Regulations Section XVI.
- 350 Second by Ms. Monaghan.
- 351 Mr. Omberg opened the public comment session at 8:19pm. No comments were made. Mr. Omberg
- 352 closed the public comment session at 8:20pm.
- 353 The roll call vote was unanimous in favor of the motion (7-0).
- 354
- 355 Mr. Omberg suggested that the Board consider the Conditional Use Permits needed for the application.
- Mr. Milner read the criteria for approving a Conditional Use Permit associated with Town of North
 Hampton Zoning Ordinance Section 501 Wetlands Conservation District.
- 358

359 Mr. Maggiore moved that the Planning Board approve the Conditional Use Permit application for

- 360 construction of a wireless telecommunication facility and cell tower with associated driveway and
- 361 utility improvements within the Wetlands Conservation District as represented in the application and

362 plans presented to the Planning Board, based upon the finding that the application meets all relevant

- 363 conditional use permit criteria indicated in Town of North Hampton Zoning Ordinance Section 501.
- 364 Second by Mr. McManus.
- 365 Mr. Omberg opened the public comment session at 8:24pm. No comments were made. Mr. Omberg 366 closed the public comment session at 8:25pm.
- 367 The roll call vote was unanimous in favor of the motion (7-0).
- 368
- 369 Mr. Milner stated that there were no specific criteria for approving a Conditional Use Permit associated
- 370 with Town of North Hampton Zoning Ordinance Section 605 Wireless Telecommunications Facilities
- 371 other than compliance with zoning ordinance and site plan regulations.
- 372
- 373

- 374 Mr. Maggiore moved that the Planning Board approve the Conditional Use Permit application for
- 375 construction of a wireless telecommunication facility and cell tower with associated driveway and
- 376 utility improvements as represented in the application and plans presented to the Planning Board,
- 377 based upon the finding that the application meets all relevant conditional use permit criteria indicated
- in Town of North Hampton Zoning Ordinance Section 605. Second by Mr. McManus.
- 379 Mr. Omberg opened the public comment session at 8:27pm. No comments were made. Mr. Omberg
- 380 closed the public comment session at 8:28pm.
- 381 The roll call vote was unanimous in favor of the motion (7-0).
- 382
- 383 Mr. Omberg suggested that the Board consider the Site Plan Review application.
- 384

385 Mr. Maggiore moved that the Planning Board approve the Site Plan Review application for

- 386 construction of a wireless telecommunications facility and cell tower with associated driveway and
- 387 utility improvements on Map/Lot 012-072-000, 012-067-000, and 012-065-000 as represented in the
- 388 application and plans presented to the Board subject to the following conditions:
- **1.** The applicant shall submit evidence of contractual agreement for at least one wireless
- 390 telecommunications service provider to install equipment on and operate wireless
- 391 telecommunications service from the proposed cell tower prior to the commencement of any wireless
- 392 telecommunications facility activities or cell tower construction.
- 393 **2.** The applicant shall allow a reasonable amount of space at the top of the cell tower for co-location
- of municipal equipment, mutually agreed upon by the Town of North Hampton and the applicant, on
- 395 the proposed cell tower in perpetuity at no charge to the municipality. The applicant shall:
- 396 a. ensure that the co-location will satisfy the operational requirements of the municipal equipment,
- 397 b. ensure that no interference with municipal equipment will occur by the placement of any future
- 398 third party equipment on the cell tower, and
- **c.** correct any instance of interference with municipal equipment that may occur.
- 400 **3.** The applicant shall submit recordable easement documents for all applicable lots (012-067-000,
- 401 012-070-000, 012-072-000, and 012-074-000) which ensure that no occupied structures shall be built
- 402 or placed on any lot within 193 feet (tower height plus 25%) of the cell tower location.
- 403 4. The applicant shall submit a National Environmental Policy Act evaluation report and, if necessary,
- 404 an Environmental Assessment or Environmental Impact Statement, as required by the Town of North
 405 Hampton Zoning Ordinance.
- 406 **5.** The applicant shall submit a stormwater management plan and calculations in compliance with the
- 407 Town of North Hampton Site Plan Regulations and approved by the Town Engineer.
- 408 6. The applicant shall provide a removal and disposal performance guarantee for the wireless
- 409 telecommunications facility and cell tower in conformance with Town of North Hampton Zoning
- 410 Ordinance 605.B Security and Insurance, and in an amount and a form acceptable to the Town of
- 411 North Hampton and approved by the Town Engineer.
- 412 **7.** The applicant shall submit evidence of receipt of a certificate of insurance covering the constructed
- facilities in conformance with the Town of North Hampton Zoning Ordinance Section 605.B Security
- 414 and Insurance.
- 415 8. The applicant shall submit a clean letter from the Town Engineer.
- 416 9. A note shall be added to the recorded page of the plan indicating all Zoning Board of Adjustment
- 417 and Planning Board approvals and the dates of the approvals.
- 418 **10.** The applicant shall submit a recordable Mylar of the approved plans with signatures and seals
- 419 affixed of all licensed professionals whose names appear on the plan. All conditions of approval shall
- 420 be listed on the Mylar pursuant to NH RSA 676:3, III.

- 421 **11.** Applicant shall submit a Certificate of Monumentation, stamped and signed by a licensed NH
- 422 Licensed Land Surveyor, certifying that all monuments for Map/Lot 012-072-000 have been properly
- 423 set as required by Town of North Hampton regulations.
- 424 **12**. The applicant shall submit evidence of receipt of all required federal, state, and local permits.
- **13.** The applicant shall submit checks made payable to the Rockingham County Register of Deeds for
 mandatory recording fees.
- 427 **14.** All fees incurred by the Planning Board, including but not limited to, consulting, engineering, and
- 428 legal fees have been paid by the applicant.
- 429 15. There shall be no changes to the approved site plan on the recordable Mylar except to meet these430 conditions of approval.
- 431 Second by Ms. Monaghan.
- 432 Mr. Omberg opened the public comment session at 9:01pm. No comments were made. Mr. Omberg
- 433 closed the public comment session at 9:02pm.
- 434 The roll call vote was unanimous in favor of the motion (7-0).
- 435
- 436 IV. Other Business
- 437 1. Minutes.
- 438 Mr. Omberg presented the minutes of the October 17, 2023 meeting.
- 439 Mr. Wilson moved that the Planning Board accept the minutes of the October 17, 2023 meeting as
- 440 written. Second by Mr. Maggiore. The roll call vote was 6-0-1 in favor of the motion with Mr.
- 441 McManus abstaining.
- The meeting was adjourned at 9:04pm without objection.
- 444

442

- 445 Respectfully submitted,
- 446
- 447
- 448
- 449 Rick Milner
- 450 Recording Secretary